## STA 2101/442 Assignment Seven ${ }^{1}$

Please bring your R printouts to the quiz. The non-computer questions are just practice for the quiz, and are not to be handed in. There is a new formula sheet that will be available during the quiz; see link on the course home page. Bring a calculator to this quiz.

1. Consider the prediction interval for $Y_{n+1}$.
(a) What is the distribution of $Y_{n+1}-\widehat{Y}_{n+1}=Y_{n+1}-\mathbf{x}_{n+1}^{\prime} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ ? Show your work. Your answer includes both the expected value and the variance.
(b) Now standardize the difference to obtain a standard normal.
(c) Divide by the square root of a chi-squared random variable, divided by its degrees of freedom, and simplify. Call it $T$. Compare your answer to a slide from lecture. How do you know that numerator and denominator are independent?
(d) Using your result, derive the $(1-\alpha) \times 100 \%$ prediction interval for $Y_{n+1}$.
2. When you fit a full and a reduced regression model, the proportion of remaining variation explained by the additional variables in the full model is $a=\frac{R_{F}^{2}-R_{R}^{2}}{1-R_{R}^{2}}$. Show

$$
F=\frac{\left(S S R_{F}-S S R_{R}\right) / r}{M S E_{F}}=\left(\frac{n-p}{r}\right)\left(\frac{a}{1-a}\right) .
$$

You are proving a fact that's on the formula sheet. You may not use anything on the same line of the formula sheet.
3. In the usual univariate multiple regression model, the $\mathbf{X}$ is an $n \times p$ matrix of known constants. But of course in practice, the explanatory variables are random, not fixed. Clearly, if the model holds conditionally upon the values of the explanatory variables, then all the usual results hold, again conditionally upon the particular values of the explanatory variables. The probabilities (for example, $p$-values) are conditional probabilities, and the $F$ statistic does not have an $F$ distribution, but a conditional $F$ distribution, given $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}$.
(a) Show that the least-squares estimator $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ is conditionally unbiased.
(b) Show that $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is also unbiased unconditionally.
(c) A similar calculation applies to the significance level of a hypothesis test. Let $F$ be the test statistic (say for an $F$-test comparing full and reduced models), and $f_{c}$ be the critical value. If the null hypothesis is true, then the test is size $\alpha$, conditionally upon the explanatory variable values. That is, $P\left(F>f_{c} \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}\right)=\alpha$. Find the unconditional probability of a Type I error. Assume that the explanatory variables are discrete, so you can write a multiple sum.

[^0]4. It is perfectly natural to assume that something like response to a drug might be approximately linear over some range of dosage values, but that each person in the population might have his or her own slope. Thus each time you select a random sample you'll get a different collection of slopes, and the regression coefficient corresponding to the slope would be a random variable. Here is a simple model illustrating this situation. Let
$$
Y_{i}=S_{i} x_{i}+\epsilon_{i},
$$
where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are known constants, and independently for $i=1, \ldots, n$,
$S_{i}\left(S\right.$ for slope) is a normal random variable with expected value $\beta$ and variance $\sigma_{1}^{2}$, $\epsilon_{i}$ is a normal random variable with expected value zero and variance $\sigma_{2}^{2}$, and $S_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i}$ are independent.
(a) This is a special case of the general mixed linear model, in which $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{Z b}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where

- $\mathbf{X}$ is an $n \times p$ matrix of known constants
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown constants.
- $\mathbf{Z}$ is an $n \times q$ matrix of known constants
- $\mathbf{b} \sim N_{q}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}$ unknown
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N\left(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n}\right)$, where $\sigma^{2}>0$ is an unknown constant.

At first, the model in this problem might not seem to fit the specifications above. Why? But actually it does. To see this, give the distribution of $Y_{i}$, and then write a general mixed model that yields this same probability distribution. Now you can answer these questions.
i. What is the matrix $\mathbf{X}$ ? What is $p$ ?
ii. What is the matrix $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ?
iii. What is the matrix $\mathbf{Z}$ ? What is $q$ ?
iv. What is the matrix $\mathbf{b}$ ?
v. What is the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}$ ?
(b) What would happen if you tried to estimate $\beta$ in the usual way with

$$
\widehat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}
$$

Under what conditions on the $x_{i}$ values is this estimator consistent?
(c) Find another estimator of $\beta$ by calculating $E\left(\bar{Y}_{n}\right)$. Why does the Law of Large Numbers not apply here? Okay, anyway, propose an estimator, and give a set of conditions on the $x_{i}$ values that will make it consistent for $\beta$.
(d) Which estimator do you like more? Why?
(e) Now suppose that all the $x_{i}$ values are equal to one.
i. What is the distribution of $Y_{i}$ in this situation?
ii. Propose an estimator of $\beta$ that should satisfy anyone.
iii. Give an exact $(1-\alpha) 100 \%$ confidence interval for $\beta$; you don't have to show any work.
iv. Now suppose that you want to estimate $\sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{2}$. Remember the problem from last assignment in which men and women were calling a help line according to independent Poisson processes, and we tried to estimate $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ ? Does that problem tell you anything about your chances of success when all the $x_{i}$ values equal one?
5. For most configurations of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, the variance parameters in Question 4 can be estimated successfully - but it's not so easy to see how. So we'll do it numerically with maximum likelihood. Some data from the model of Question 4 are available from the class website, in the file randslope.data. There is a link on the course website in case the one in this document does not work.
(a) Make a scatterplot of the data and bring it to the quiz. Does it look funny? You're guaranteed that the model is correct. Why does the scatterplot look the way it does? How would it look if there were also a range of negative $x_{i}$ values?
(b) Estimate the parameters numerically. Your answer to this part is a set of three numbers. Show the definition of the function you're minimizing, as well as all the other input and output leading to your answer. Wondering about starting values? Well, at least you know where to start looking for $\widehat{\beta}$.
(c) Using the asymptotic variance of $\widehat{\beta}$, carry out a 2 -sided $Z$-test of $H_{0}: \beta=0$. Your output should include the computed value of $Z$ and the two-tailed $p$-value. Do you reject $H_{0}$ at $\alpha=0.05$ ?

Bring your printout to the quiz.
6. For this question, you will use the file sat. data from Assignment 1. There is a link on the course web page in case the one in this document does not work. We seek to predict GPA from the two test scores. Throughout, please use the usual $\alpha=0.05$ significance level.
(a) First, fit a model using just the Math score as a predictor. "Fit" means estimate the model parameters. Does there appear to be a relationship between Math score and grade point average?
i. Answer Yes or No.
ii. Fill in the blank. Students who did better on the Math test tended to have $\qquad$ first-year grade point average.
iii. Do you reject $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$ ?
iv. Are the results statistically significant? Answer Yes or No.
v . What is the $p$-value? The answer can be found in two places on your printout.
vi. What proportion of the variation in first-year grade point average is explained by score on the SAT Math test? The answer is a number from your printout.
vii. Give a predicted first-year grade point average and a $95 \%$ prediction interval for a student who got 700 on the Math SAT.
(b) Now fit a model with both the Math and Verbal sub-tests.
i. Give the test statistic, the degrees of freedom and the $p$-value for each of the following null hypotheses. The answers are numbers from your printout.
A. $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=0$
B. $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$
C. $H_{0}: \beta_{2}=0$
D. $H_{0}: \beta_{0}=0$
ii. Controlling for Math score, is Verbal score related to first-year grade point average?
A. Give the null hypothesis in symbols.
B. Give the value of the test statistic. The answer is a number from your printout.
C. Give the $p$-value. The answer is a number from your printout.
D. Do you reject the null hypothesis?
E. Are the results statistically significant? Answer Yes or No.
F. In plain, non-statistical language, what do you conclude? The answer is something about test scores and grade point average.
iii. Controlling for Verbal score, is Math score related to first-year grade point average?
A. Give the null hypothesis in symbols.
B. Give the value of the test statistic. The answer is a number from your printout.
C. Give the $p$-value. The answer is a number from your printout.
D. Do you reject the null hypothesis?
E. Are the results statistically significant? Answer Yes or No.
F. In plain, non-statistical language, what do you conclude? The answer is something about test scores and grade point average.
iv. Math score explains $\qquad$ percent of the remaining variation in grade point average once you take Verbal score into account. Using the formula from the slides (see formula sheet), you should be able to calculate this from the output of the summary function. You can check your answer using the anova function.
v. Verbal score explains $\qquad$ percent of the remaining variation in grade point average once you take Math score into account. Using the formula from the slides (see formula sheet), you should be able to calculate this from the output of the summary function. You can check your answer using the anova function.
vi. Give a predicted first-year grade point average and a $95 \%$ prediction interval for a student who got 650 on the Verbal and 700 on the Math SAT. Are you confident that this student's first-year GPA will be above 2.0 (a C average)?
vii. Let's do one more test. We want to know whether expected GPA increases faster as a function of the Verbal SAT, or the Math SAT. That is, we want to compare the regression coefficients, testing $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}$.
A. Express the null hypothesis in matrix form as $\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{h}$. Obviously, this should be pretty routine.
B. But it's a bit more trouble than you'd think using R. You can locate and install a package if you wish. If you don't choose to go this route, the following may make you life easier. Suppose your (full) model is called mymodel. Then vcov(mymodel) will yield the estimated covariance matrix of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. That is, it yields $M S E\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$.
Carry out the test, producing an $F$ ot $t$ statistic, degrees of freedom, and a $p$-value. Be able to state your conclusion in plain, non-technical language. It's something about first-year grade point average.
Bring your printout to the quiz.

This assignment was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The $\mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ source code is available from the course website: http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/oldclass/appliedf12
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