## Logistic Regression

## For a binary response variable: $1=$ Yes, $0=\mathrm{No}$
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## Binary outcomes are common and important

- The patient survives the operation, or does not.
- The accused is convicted, or is not.
- The customer makes a purchase, or does not.
- The marriage lasts at least five years, or does not.
- The student graduates, or does not.


## For a binary variable

- The population mean $E[Y]$ is the probability that $\mathrm{Y}=1$
- Make the mean depend on a set of explanatory variables
- Consider one explanatory variable. Think of a scatterplot


## Least Squares vs. Logistic Regression

Least Squares Line


Logistic Regression Curve


The logistic regression curve arises from an indirect representation of the probability of $Y=1$ for a given set of $x$ values.

Representing the probability of an event by $\pi$

$$
\mathrm{Odds}=\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}
$$

## Odds $=\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$

- If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y}=1)=1 / 2$, odds $=.5 /(1-.5)=1$ (to 1 )
- If $P(Y=1)=2 / 3$, odds $=2$ (to 1 )
- If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y}=1)=3 / 5$, odds $=(3 / 5) /(2 / 5)=1.5$ (to 1)
- If $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y}=1)=1 / 5$, odds $=.25$ (to 1 )


## The higher the probability, the greater the odds

$$
\text { Odds }=\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}
$$

$0 \leq$ Odds $<\infty$

## Linear model for the log odds

- Natural log, not base 10
- Symbolized ln

- The higher the probability, the higher the log odds.


## Linear regression model for the log odds of the event $Y=1$

$$
\ln \left(\frac{P(Y=1 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{P(Y=0 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}
$$

## Probability zero or one is excluded

$$
\ln \left(\frac{P(Y=1 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{P(Y=0 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}
$$

- Log is only defined for positive numbers.
- So any model for the log odds, including logistic regression, will not work for events of probability exactly zero or exactly one.
- Why not one?


## Equivalent Statements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{P(Y=1 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{P(Y=0 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \frac{P(Y=1 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{P(Y=0 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}=e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}} \\
&=e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1} x_{1}} \cdots e^{\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}} \\
& P\left(Y=1 \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}\right)=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}}}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## In terms of log odds, logistic regression is like regular regression

$$
\ln \left(\frac{P(Y=1 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{P(Y=0 \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}
$$

## In terms of plain odds,

- Logistic regression coefficients are related to odds ratios.
- For example, "Among 50 year old men, the odds of being dead before age 60 are three times as great for smokers."


## Odds of death given smoker <br> Odds of death given nonsmoker

## Logistic regression

- $X=1$ means smoker, $X=0$ means nonsmoker
- $Y=1$ means dead, $Y=0$ means alive
- $\log$ odds of death $=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x$
- Odds of death $=e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1} x}$


## Odds of Death $=e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1} x}$

| Group | $x$ | Odds of Death |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Smokers | 1 | $e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1}}$ |
| Non-smokers | 0 | $e^{\beta_{0}}$ |

$\frac{\text { Odds of death given smoker }}{\text { Odds of death given nonsmoker }}=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1}}}{e^{\beta_{0}}}=e^{\beta_{1}}$

## Exponential function $f(t)=e^{t}$

- Always positive
- $\mathrm{e}^{0}=1$, so when $\beta_{1}=0$, the odds ratio $e^{\beta 1}$ equals one (50-50).
- $f(t)=e^{t}$ is increasing



## Another example

$$
\text { Log Survival Odds }=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} d_{1}+\beta_{2} d_{2}+\beta_{3} x
$$

| Treatment | $d_{1}$ | $d_{2}$ | Odds of Survival $=e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1} d_{1}} e^{\beta_{2} d_{2}} e^{\beta_{3} x}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemotherapy | 1 | 0 | $e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1}} e^{\beta_{3} x}$ |
| Radiation | 0 | 1 | $e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{2}} e^{\beta_{3} x}$ |
| Both | 0 | 0 | $e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{3} x}$ |

## For any given disease severity x ,

$\frac{\text { Survival odds with Chemo }}{\text { Survival odds with Both }}=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{1}} e^{\beta_{3} x}}{e^{\beta_{0}} e^{\beta_{3} x}}=e^{\beta_{1}}$

## In general,

- When $x_{k}$ is increased by one unit and all other explanatory variables are held constant, the odds of $\mathrm{Y}=1$ are multiplied by $e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}}$
- That is, $e^{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}}$ is an odds ratio --- the ratio of the odds of $Y=1$ when $x_{k}$ is increased by one unit, to the odds of $Y=1$ when everything is left alone.
- As in ordinary regression, we speak of "controlling" for the other variables.


## Equal slopes in the log odds scale

Log Odds $=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} d_{1}+\beta_{2} d_{2}+\beta_{3} x$


## Equal slopes in the log odds scale means proportional odds



## Proportional Odds in Terms of Probability



## Interactions

- With equal slopes in the log odds scale, differences in odds and differences in probabilities do depend on $x$.
- Regression coefficients for product terms still mean something.
- If zero, they mean that the odds ratio does not depend on the value(s) of the covariate(s).
- Odds ratio has odds of $Y=1$ for the reference category in the denominator.
- Most of our models will not have product terms.


## The conditional probability of $Y=1$

$$
P\left(Y=1 \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p-1}\right)=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{p-1} x_{p-1}}}
$$

This formula can be used to calculate an estimated $P(Y=1)$ Just replace betas by their estimates (b)

It can also be used to calculate the probability of getting The sample data values we actually did observe.

## Maximum likelihood estimation

- Likelihood = Probability of getting the data values we did observe
- Viewed as a function of the parameters (betas), it's called the "likelihood function."
- Those parameter values for which the likelihood function is greatest are called the maximum likelihood estimates.
- Thank you again, Mr. Fisher.


## Likelihood Function for Simple Logistic Regression



## Maximum likelihood estimates

- Must be found numerically.
- For the record, using "iteratively reweighted least squares."
- Lead to nice large-sample chi-square tests.
- Most common are likelihood ratio tests and Wald tests.
- We will mostly use Wald tests.


## Likelihood Ratio Tests

- Likelihood at MLE is the maximum probability of obtaining the observed data.
- Higher probability means better model fit, but they are all very small.
- -2 log likelihood measures lack of fit.
- Restricted (reduced) model always fits worse than unrestricted (full).
- $G 2=-2 L L_{R}--2 L L_{F}$
- df is number of $=$ signs in $\mathrm{H}_{0}$.


## Wald tests

- Based directly on approximate large-sample normality of the MLE.
- Thank you, Mr. Wald.
- Formula looks like the numerator of the general linear F-test statistic.
- Wald and LR tests are asymptotically equivalent under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$.
- Meaning that if $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is true, the difference between the test statistics goes to zero in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- If $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is false, they both go to $\infty$ but need not be close.
- LR tests perform better for smaller samples, and have other advantages.
- We will mostly use Wald tests because SAS makes them more convenient.
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