# Categorical Data Analysis ${ }^{1}$ STA 312: Fall 2012 

[^0]
## Variables and Cases

- There are $n$ cases (people, rats, factories, wolf packs) in a data set.
- A variable is a characteristic or piece of information that can be recorded for each case in the data set.
- For example cases could be patients in a hospital, and variables could be Age, Sex, Diagnosis, Have family doctor (Yes-No), Family history of heart disease (Yes-No), etc.


## Variables can be Categorical, or Continuous

- Categorical: Gender, Diagnosis, Job category, Have family doctor, Family history of heart disease, 5-year survival (Y-N)
- Some categories are ordered (birth order, health status)
- Continuous: Height, Weight, Blood pressure
- Some questions:
- Are all normally distributed variables continuous?
- Are all continuous variables quantitative?
- Are all quantitative variables continuous?
- Are there really any data sets with continuous variables?


## Variables can be Explanatory, or Response

- Explanatory variables are sometimes called "independent variables."
- The $x$ variables in regression are explanatory variables.
- Response variables are sometimes called "dependent variables."
- The $Y$ variable in regression is the response variable.
- Sometimes the distinction is not useful: Does each twin get cancer, Yes or No?


## Our main interest is in categorical variables

- Especially categorical response variables
- In ordinary regression, outcomes are normally distributed, and so continuous.
- But often, outcomes of interest are categorical
- Buy the product, or not
- Marital status 5 years after graduation
- Survive the operation, or not.
- Ordered categorical response variables, too: for example highest level of hockey ever played.


## Distributions

We will mostly use

- Bernoulli
- Binomial
- Multinomial
- Poisson


## The Poisson process

Why the Poisson distribution is such a useful model for count data

- Events happening randomly in space or time
- Independent increments
- For a small region or interval,
- Chance of 2 or more events is negligible
- Chance of an event roughly proportional to the size of the region or interval
- Then (solve a system of differential equations), the probability of observing $x$ events in a region of size $t$ is

$$
\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{x}}{x!} \text { for } x=0,1, \ldots
$$

## Poisson process examples

## Some variables that have a Poisson distribution

- Calls coming in to an emergency number
- Customers arriving in a given time period
- Number of raisins in a loaf of raisin bread
- Number of bomb craters in a region after a bombing raid, London WWII
- In a jar of peanut butter ...


## Steps in the process of statistical analysis

One possible approach

- Consider a fairly realistic example or problem
- Decide on a statistical model
- Perhaps decide sample size
- Acquire data
- Examine and clean the data; generate displays and descriptive statistics
- Estimate parameters, perhaps by maximum likelihood
- Carry out tests, compute confidence intervals, or both
- Perhaps re-consider the model and go back to estimation
- Based on the results of inference, draw conclusions about the example or problem


## Coffee taste test

A fast food chain is considering a change in the blend of coffee beans they use to make their coffee. To determine whether their customers prefer the new blend, the company plans to select a random sample of $n=100$ coffee-drinking customers and ask them to taste coffee made with the new blend and with the old blend, in cups marked " $A$ " and " $B$." Half the time the new blend will be in $\operatorname{cup} A$, and half the time it will be in cup $B$. Management wants to know if there is a difference in preference for the two blends.

## Statistical model

Letting $\pi$ denote the probability that a consumer will choose the new blend, treat the data $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ as a random sample from a Bernoulli distribution. That is, independently for $i=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
P\left(y_{i} \mid \pi\right)=\pi^{y_{i}}(1-\pi)^{1-y_{i}}
$$

for $y_{i}=0$ or $y_{i}=1$, and zero otherwise.

Note that $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$ is the number of consumers who choose the new blend. Because $Y \sim B(n, \pi)$, the whole experiment could also be treated as a single observation from a Binomial.

## Find the MLE of $\pi$

Show your work
Maximize the log likelihood.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \log \ell & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(y_{i} \mid \pi\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{y_{i}}(1-\pi)^{1-y_{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \log \left(\pi^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}(1-\pi)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \log \pi+\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \log (1-\pi)\right) \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\pi}-\frac{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{1-\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Setting the derivative to zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\pi}=\frac{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{1-\pi} & \Rightarrow(1-\pi) \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}=\pi\left(n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}-\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}=n \pi-\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}=n \pi \\
& \Rightarrow \pi=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n}=\bar{y}=p
\end{aligned}
$$

So it looks like the MLE is the sample proportion. Carrying out the second derivative test to be sure,

## Second derivative test

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \log \ell}{\partial \pi^{2}} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\pi}-\frac{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{1-\pi}\right) \\
& =\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\pi^{2}}--\frac{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{(1-\pi)^{2}} \\
& =-n\left(\frac{1-\bar{y}}{(1-\pi)^{2}}+\frac{\bar{y}}{\pi^{2}}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Concave down, maximum, and $\widehat{\pi}=\bar{y}=p$.

## Numerical estimate

Suppose 60 of the 100 consumers prefer the new blend. Give a point estimate the parameter $\pi$. Your answer is a number.
$>\mathrm{p}=60 / 100 ; \mathrm{p}$
[1] 0.6

## Carry out a test to answer the question

Is there a difference in preference for the two blends?

Start by stating the null hypothesis

- $H_{0}: \pi=0.50$
- $H_{1}: \pi \neq 0.50$
- A case could be made for a one-sided test, but we'll stick with two-sided.
- $\alpha=0.05$ as usual.
- Central Limit Theorem says $\widehat{\pi}=\bar{Y}$ is approximately normal with mean $\pi$ and variance $\frac{\pi(1-\pi)}{n}$.

Several valid test statistics for $H_{0}: \pi=\pi_{0}$ are available Two of them are

$$
Z_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}}
$$

and

$$
Z_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}
$$

What is the critical value? Your answer is a number.
> alpha $=0.05$
> qnorm(1-alpha/2)
[1] 1.959964

## Calculate the test statistic(s)

 and the $p$-value(s)```
> piO = .5; p = .6; n = 100
> Z1 = sqrt(n)*(p-pi0)/sqrt(pi0*(1-pi0)); Z1
[1] 2
> pval1 = 2 * (1-pnorm(Z1)); pval1
[1] 0.04550026
>
> Z2 = sqrt(n)*(p-pi0)/sqrt(p*(1-p)); Z2
[1] 2.041241
> pval2 = 2 * (1-pnorm(Z2)); pval2
[1] 0.04122683
```


## Conclusions

- Do you reject $H_{0}$ ? Yes, just barely.
- Isn't the $\alpha=0.05$ significance level pretty arbitrary? Yes, but if people insist on a Yes or No answer, this is what you give them.
- What do you conclude, in symbols? $\pi \neq 0.50$. Specifically, $\pi>0.50$.
- What do you conclude, in plain language? Your answer is a statement about coffee. More consumers prefer the new blend of coffee beans.
- Can you really draw directional conclusions when all you did was reject a non-directional null hypothesis? Yes. Decompose the two-sided size $\alpha$ test into two one-sided tests of size $\alpha / 2$. This approach works in general.
It is very important to state directional conclusions, and state them clearly in terms of the subject matter. Say what happened! If you are asked state the conclusion in plain language, your answer must be free of statistical mumbo-jumbo.


## What about negative conclusions?

What would you say if $Z=1.84$ ?

Here are two possibilitie, in plain languages.

- "This study does not provide clear evidence that consumers prefer one blend of coffee beans over the other."
- "The results are consistent with no difference in preference for the two coffee bean blends."

In this course, we will not just casually accept the null hypothesis.

## Confidence Intervals

Approximately for large $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\alpha & =\operatorname{Pr}\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2}<Z<z_{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& \approx \operatorname{Pr}\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2}<\frac{\sqrt{n}(p-\pi)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}<z_{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left\{p-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}<\pi<p+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Could express this as $p \pm z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$
- $z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ is sometimes called the margin of error.
- If $\alpha=0.05$, it's the $95 \%$ margin of error.

Give a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the taste test data. The answer is a pair of numbers. Show some work.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}, p+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right) \\
= & \left(0.60-1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.6 \times 0.4}{100}}, 0.60+1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.6 \times 0.4}{100}}\right) \\
= & (0.504,0.696)
\end{aligned}
$$

In a report, you could say

- The estimated proportion preferring the new coffee bean blend is $0.60 \pm 0.096$, or
- "Sixty percent of consumers preferred the new blend. These results are expected to be accurate within 10 percentage points, 19 times out of 20 ."


## Meaning of the confidence interval

- We calculated a $95 \%$ confidence interval of $(0.504,0.696)$ for $\pi$.
- Does this mean $\operatorname{Pr}\{0.504<\pi<0.696\}=0.95$ ?
- No! The quantities $0.504,0.696$ and $\pi$ are all constants, so $\operatorname{Pr}\{0.504<\pi<0.696\}$ is either zero or one.
- The endpoints of the confidence interval are random variables, and the numbers 0.504 and 0.696 are realizations of those random variables, arising from a particular random sample.
- Meaning of the probability statement: If we were to calculate an interval in this manner for a large number of random samples, the interval would contain the true parameter around $95 \%$ of the time.
- So we sometimes say that we are " $95 \%$ confident" that $0.504<\pi<0.696$.


## Confidence intervals (regions) correspond to tests

Recall $Z_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}}$ and $Z_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}$.
From the derivation of the confidence interval,

$$
-z_{\alpha / 2}<Z_{2}<z_{\alpha / 2}
$$

if and only if

$$
p-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}<\pi_{0}<p+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}
$$

- So the confidence interval consists of those parameter values $\pi_{0}$ for which $H_{0}: \pi=\pi_{0}$ is not rejected.
- That is, the null hypothesis is rejected at significance level $\alpha$ if and only if the value given by the null hypothesis is outside the $(1-\alpha) \times 100 \%$ confidence interval.
- There is a confidence interval corresponding to $Z_{1}$ too. Maybe it's better - See Chapter 1.
- In general, any test can be inverted to obtain a confidence region.


## Selecting sample size

- Where did that $n=100$ come from?
- Probably off the top of someone's head.
- We can (and should) be more systematic.
- Sample size can be selected
- To achieve a desired margin of error
- To achieve a desired statistical power
- In other reasonable ways


## Power

The power of a test is the probability of rejecting $H_{0}$ when $H_{0}$ is false.

- More power is good.
- Power is not just one number. It is a function of the parameter.
- Usually,
- For any $n$, the more incorrect $H_{0}$ is, the greater the power.
- For any parameter value satisfying the alternative hypothesis, the larger $n$ is, the greater the power.


## Statistical power analysis

## To select sample size

- Pick an effect you'd like to be able to detect - a parameter value such that $H_{0}$ is false. It should be just over the boundary of interesting and meaningful.
- Pick a desired power, a probability with which you'd like to be able to detect the effect by rejecting the null hypothesis.
- Start with a fairly small $n$ and calculate the power. Increase the sample size until the desired power is reached.

There are two main issues.

- What is an "interesting" or "meaningful" parameter value?
- How do you calculate the probability of rejecting $H_{0}$ ?


## Calculating power for the test of a single proportion

True parameter value is $\pi$

$$
Z_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Power }= & 1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2}<Z_{1}<z_{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
= & 1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{-z_{\alpha / 2}<\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(p-\pi_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}}<z_{\alpha / 2}\right\} \\
= & \cdots \\
= & 1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}<\frac{\sqrt{n}(p-\pi)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad<\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right\} \\
& \\
\approx & 1-\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}<Z<\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right\} \\
= & 1-\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right)+\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal.

## An $R$ function to calculate approximate power

For the test of a single proportion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Power }=1-\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}+z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right)+\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\pi_{0}-\pi\right)}{\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)}}-z_{\alpha / 2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi_{0}\left(1-\pi_{0}\right)}{\pi(1-\pi)}}\right) \\
& \text { Z1power }=\text { function(pi,n,pi0=0.50, alpha=0.05) } \\
& \quad\{ \\
& \quad \mathrm{a}=\operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{n}) *(\mathrm{pi} 0-\mathrm{pi}) / \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{pi} *(1-\mathrm{pi})) \\
& \mathrm{b}=\text { qnorm }(1-\mathrm{alpha} / 2) * \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{pi} 0 *(1-\mathrm{pi} 0) /(\mathrm{pi} *(1-\mathrm{pi}))) \\
& \text { Z1power }=1-\operatorname{pnorm}(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})+\operatorname{pnorm}(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) \\
& \text { Z1power } \\
& \} \text { \# End of function Z1power }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some numerical examples

```
> Z1power(0.50,100)
[1] 0.05
>
> Z1power(0.55,100)
[1] 0.168788
> Z1power(0.60,100)
[1] 0.5163234
> Z1power(0.65,100)
[1] 0.8621995
> Z1power(0.40,100)
[1] 0.5163234
> Z1power(0.55,500)
[1] 0.6093123
> Z1power(0.55,1000)
[1] 0.8865478
```


## Find smallest sample size needed to detect $\pi=0.55$ as

 different from $\pi_{0}=0.50$ with probability at least 0.80```
> samplesize = 50
> power=Z1power(pi=0.55,n=samplesize); power
[1] 0.1076602
> while(power < 0.80)
+ {
+ samplesize = samplesize+1
+ power = Z1power(pi=0.55,n=samplesize)
+ }
> samplesize; power
[1] 783
[1] 0.8002392
```


## Find smallest sample size needed to detect $\pi=0.60$ as

 different from $\pi_{0}=0.50$ with probability at least 0.80```
> samplesize = 50
> power=Z1power(pi=0.60,n=samplesize); power
[1] 0.2890491
> while(power < 0.80)
+ {
+ samplesize = samplesize+1
+ power = Z1power(pi=0.60,n=samplesize)
+ }
> samplesize; power
[1] }19
[1] 0.8003138
```


## Conclusions from the power analysis

- Detecting true $\pi=0.60$ as different from 0.50 is a reasonable goal.
- Power with $n=100$ is barely above one half - pathetic.
- As Fisher said, "To call in the statistician after the experiment is done may be no more than asking him to perform a postmortem examination: he may be able to say what the experiment died of."
- $n=200$ is much better.
- How about $n=250$ ?
> Z1power (pi=0.60,n=250)
[1] 0.8901088
It depends on what you can afford, but I like $n=250$.


## What is required of the scientist

Who wants to select sample size by power analysis

The scientist must specify

- Parameter values that he or she wants to be able to detect as different from $H_{0}$ value.
- Desired power (probability of detection)

It's not always easy for the scientist to think in terms of the parameters of a statistical model.
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