Random Independent variables¹ STA302 Fall 2014

¹See last slide for copyright information.

Overview

Preparation: Indicator functions Conditional expectation and the Law of Total Probability

 $I_A(x)$ is the indicator function for the set A. It is defined by

$$I_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Also sometimes written $I(x \in A)$

$$E(I_A(X))$$
 = $\sum_x I_A(x)p(x)$, or
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_A(x)f(x) dx$$
 = $P\{X \in A\}$

So the expected value of an indicator is a probability.

Applies to conditional probabilities too

$$E(I_A(X)|Y) = \sum_x I_A(x)p(x|Y)$$
, or
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_A(x)f(x|Y) dx$$
$$= Pr\{X \in A|Y\}$$

So the conditional expected value of an indicator is a *conditional* probability.

Double expectation

$$E\left(X\right) = E\left(E[X|Y]\right) = E(g(Y))$$

E(X) = E(E[X|Y])

$$E(E[X|Y]) = \int E[X|Y = y] f_y(y) dy$$

$$= \int \left(\int x f_{x|y}(x|y) dx \right) f_y(y) dy$$

$$= \int \left(\int x \frac{f_{x,y}(x,y)}{f_y(y)} dx \right) f_y(y) dy$$

$$= \int \int x f_{x,y}(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= E(X)$$

Double expectation: E(g(X)) = E(E[g(X)|Y])

$$E(E[I_A(X)|Y]) = E[I_A(X)] = Pr\{X \in A\}, \text{ so}$$

$$Pr\{X \in A\} = E\left(E[I_A(X)|Y]\right)$$

$$= E\left(Pr\{X \in A|Y\}\right)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Pr\{X \in A|Y = y\}f_Y(y) \, dy, \text{ or }$$

$$\sum_{y} Pr\{X \in A|Y = y\}p_Y(y)$$

This is known as the Law of Total Probability

Don't you think its strange?

- ullet In the general linear regression model, the **X** matrix is supposed to be full of fixed constants.
- This is convenient mathematically. Think of $E(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$.
- But in any non-experimental study, if you selected another sample, youd get different X values, because of random sampling.
- \bullet So ${\bf X}$ should be at least partly random variables, not fixed.
- View the usual model as *conditional* on $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$.
- All the probabilities and expected values so far in this course are conditional probabilities and conditional expected values.
- Does this make sense?

$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is (conditionally) unbiased

$$E(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ for } any \text{ fixed } \mathbf{x}$$

It's unconditionally unbiased too.

$$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\} = E\{E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X}\}\} = E\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Perhaps Clearer

$$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\} = E\{E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X}\}\}$$

$$= \int \cdots \int E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \cdots \int \boldsymbol{\beta} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\beta} \int \cdots \int f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot 1 = \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Conditional size α test, Critical region A

$$Pr\{F \in A | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} = \alpha$$

$$Pr\{F \in A\} = \int \cdots \int Pr\{F \in A | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \int \cdots \int \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \alpha \int \cdots \int f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \alpha$$

The moral of the story

- Don't worry.
- Even though X variables are often random, we can apply the usual fixed-x model without fear.
- Estimators are still unbiased.
- Tests have the right Type I error probability.
- Similar arguments apply to confidence intervals and prediction intervals.
- And its all distribution-free with respect to X.

Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The LATEX source code is available from the course website: http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/oldclass/302f14