Omitted Variables¹ STA305 Fall 2014

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{See}$ last slide for copyright information.

The fixed x regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{i,k} + \epsilon_i$$
, with $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

Think of the model as *conditional* given $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}_i$.

Independence of ϵ_i and \mathbf{X}_i

- The statement $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ is a statement about the conditional distribution of ϵ_i given $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}_i$.
- It says the density of ϵ_i given $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}_i$ does not depend on \mathbf{x}_i .
- For convenience, assume X_i has a density.

$$f_{\epsilon|\mathbf{x}}(\epsilon|\mathbf{x}) = f_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f_{\epsilon,\mathbf{x}}(\epsilon,\mathbf{x})}{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})} = f_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{\epsilon,\mathbf{x}}(\epsilon,\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})f_{\epsilon}(\epsilon)$$

Independence!

The fixed x regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{i,p-1} + \epsilon_i$$
, with $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

- If viewed as conditional on $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}_i$, this model implies independence of ϵ_i and \mathbf{X}_i , because the conditional distribution of ϵ_i given $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{x}_i$ does not depend on \mathbf{x}_i .
- What is ϵ_i ? Everything else that affects Y_i .
- So the usual model says that if the independent variables are random, they have zero covaiance with all other variables that are related to Y_i , but are not included in the model.
- For observational data, this assumption is almost always violated.
- Does it matter?

Example

Suppose that the variables X_2 and X_3 have an impact on Y and are correlated with X_1 , but they are not part of the data set. The values of the dependent variable are generated as follows:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i,1} + \beta_2 X_{i,2} + \beta_2 X_{i,3} + \epsilon_i,$$

independently for i = 1, ..., n, where $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. The independent variables are random, with expected value and variance-covariance matrix

$$E\left(\begin{array}{c} X_{i,1} \\ X_{i,2} \\ X_{i,3} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad V\left(\begin{array}{c} X_{i,1} \\ X_{i,2} \\ X_{i,3} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \phi_{13} \\ & \phi_{22} & \phi_{23} \\ & & \phi_{33} \end{array}\right),$$

where ϵ_i is statistically independent of $X_{i,1}$, $X_{i,2}$ and $X_{i,3}$.

Absorb X_2 and X_3

Since X_2 and X_3 are not observed, they are absorbed by the intercept and error term.

$$Y_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{i,1} + \beta_{2}X_{i,2} + \beta_{2}X_{i,3} + \epsilon_{i}$$

$$= (\beta_{0} + \beta_{2}\mu_{2} + \beta_{3}\mu_{3}) + \beta_{1}X_{i,1} + (\beta_{2}X_{i,2} + \beta_{3}X_{i,3} - \beta_{2}\mu_{2} - \beta_{3}\mu_{3} + \epsilon_{i})$$

$$= \beta_{0}^{*} + \beta_{1}X_{i,1} + \epsilon_{i}^{*}.$$

And,

$$Cov(X_{i,1}, \epsilon_i^*) = \beta_2 \phi_{12} + \beta_3 \phi_{13} \neq 0$$

The "True" Model

Almost always closer to the truth than the usual model, for observational data

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i,$$

where
$$E(X_i) = \mu_x$$
, $Var(X_i) = \sigma_x^2$, $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$, $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma_\epsilon^2$, and $Cov(X_i, \epsilon_i) = c$.

Under this model,

$$\sigma_{xy} = Cov(X_i, Y_i) = Cov(X_i, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i) = \beta_1 \sigma_x^2 + c$$

Estimate β_1 as usual

$$\widehat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{xy}}{\widehat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\beta_{1} \sigma_{x}^{2} + c}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}$$

$$= \beta_{1} + \frac{c}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_1 \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} \beta_1 + \frac{c}{\sigma_x^2}$$

- $\widehat{\beta}_1$ is biased (Homework)
- It's inconsistent.
- It could be almost anything, depending on the value of c, the covariance between X_i and ϵ_i .
- The only time $\widehat{\beta}_1$ behaves properly is when c=0.
- Test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$: Probability of Type I error goes almost surely to one.
- What if $\beta_1 < 0$ but $\beta_1 + \frac{c}{\sigma_x^2} > 0$, and you test $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$?

All this applies to multiple regression Of course

When a regression model fails to include all the independent variables that contribute to the dependent variable, and those omitted independent variables have non-zero covariance with variables that are in the model, the regression coefficients are biased and inconsistent.

Correlation-Causation

- The problem of omitted variables is the technical version of the correlation-causation issue.
- The omitted variables are "confounding" variables.
- With random assignment and good procedure, x and ϵ have zero covariance.
- But random assignment is not always possible.
- Most applications of regression to observational data provide very poor information about the regression coefficients.
- Is bad information better than no information at all?

Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The LATEX source code is available from the course website: http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/oldclass/302f14