

Large sample tools¹

STA2053 Fall 2022

¹See last slide for copyright information.

Overview

- 1 Foundations
- 2 LLN
- 3 Consistency
- 4 CLT
- 5 Convergence of random vectors
- 6 Delta Method

Sample Space $\Omega, \omega \in \Omega$

- Ω is a set, the underlying sample space.
- \mathcal{F} is a class of subsets of Ω .
- There is a probability measure \mathcal{P} defined on the elements of \mathcal{F} .

Probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$

Random variables are functions from Ω into the set of real numbers

$$Pr\{X \in B\} = Pr(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\})$$

Random Sample $X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)$

- $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- $T = T_n(\omega)$
- Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to see what happens for large samples.

Modes of Convergence

- Almost Sure Convergence
- Convergence in Probability
- Convergence in Distribution

Almost Sure Convergence

We say that T_n converges *almost surely* to T , and write $T_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} T$ if

$$Pr\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\omega) = T(\omega)\} = 1.$$

- Acts like an ordinary limit, except possibly on a set of probability zero.
- All the usual rules apply.
- Called convergence with probability one or sometimes strong convergence.
- In this course, convergence will usually be to a constant.

$$Pr\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\omega) = c\} = 1.$$

Strong Law of Large Numbers

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent with common expected value μ .

$$\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(X_i) = \mu$$

The only condition required for this to hold is the existence of the expected value.

Probability is long run relative frequency

- Statistical experiment: Probability of “success” is θ .
- Carry out the experiment many times independently.
- Code the results $X_i = 1$ if success, $X_i = 0$ for failure, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Sample proportion of successes converges to the probability of success

Recall $X_i = 0$ or 1 .

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i) &= \sum_{x=0}^1 x \Pr\{X_i = x\} \\ &= 0 \cdot (1 - \theta) + 1 \cdot \theta \\ &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

Relative frequency is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n$$

Simulation

Using pseudo-random number generation by computer

- Estimate almost any probability that's hard to figure out.
- Statistical power
- Weather model
- Performance of statistical methods

- Tests or confidence intervals for estimated probabilities.

Back to the Law of Large Numbers

Recall the Change of Variables formula: Let $Y = g(X)$

$$E(Y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_X(x) dx$$

Or, for discrete random variables

$$E(Y) = \sum_y y p_Y(y) = \sum_x g(x) p_X(x)$$

This is actually a big theorem, not a definition.

Applying the change of variables formula

To approximate $E[g(X)]$

Simulate X_1, \dots, X_n from the distribution of X . Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(Y) \\ &= E(g(X)) \end{aligned}$$

So for example

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(X^k)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^2 V_i W_i^3 \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(U^2 V W^3)$$

That is, sample moments converge almost surely to population moments.

Approximate an integral: $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx$

Where $h(x)$ is a nasty function.

Let $f(x)$ be a density with $f(x) > 0$ wherever $h(x) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h(x)}{f(x)} f(x) dx \\ &= E \left[\frac{h(X)}{f(X)} \right] \\ &= E[g(X)],\end{aligned}$$

So

- Sample X_1, \dots, X_n from the distribution with density $f(x)$
- Calculate $Y_i = g(X_i) = \frac{h(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$
- Calculate $\bar{Y}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} E[Y] = E[g(X)]$
- Confidence interval for $\mu = E[Y]$ is routine.

Convergence in Probability

We say that T_n converges *in probability* to T , and write $T_n \xrightarrow{P} T$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\omega : |T_n(\omega) - T(\omega)| < \epsilon\} = 1$$

For us, convergence will usually be to a constant:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{|T_n - c| < \epsilon\} = 1$$

Convergence in probability (say to c) means no matter how small the interval around c , for large enough n (that is, for all $n > N_1$) the probability of getting that close to c is as close to one as you like.

We will seldom use the definition in this class.

Weak Law of Large Numbers

$$\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$$

- Almost Sure Convergence implies Convergence in Probability
- Strong Law of Large Numbers implies Weak Law of Large Numbers

Consistency

$T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a statistic estimating a parameter θ

The statistic T_n is said to be *consistent* for θ if $T_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ for all θ in the parameter space.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{|T_n - \theta| < \epsilon\} = 1$$

The statistic T_n is said to be *strongly consistent* for θ if $T_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$.

Strong consistency implies ordinary consistency.

Consistency is great but it's not enough.

$$T_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta \Rightarrow U_n = T_n + \frac{100,000,000}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$$

Consistency of the Sample Variance

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\end{aligned}$$

By SLLN, $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$.

Because the function $g(x, y) = x - y^2$ is continuous,

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = g\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \bar{X}_n\right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(\sigma^2 + \mu^2, \mu) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \mu^2 = \sigma^2$$

Convergence in Distribution

Sometimes called *Weak Convergence*, or *Convergence in Law*

Denote the cumulative distribution functions of T_1, T_2, \dots by $F_1(t), F_2(t), \dots$ respectively, and denote the cumulative distribution function of T by $F(t)$.

We say that T_n converges *in distribution* to T , and write

$T_n \xrightarrow{d} T$ if for every point t at which F is continuous,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) = F(t)$$

Again, we will seldom use this definition directly.

Univariate Central Limit Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with expected value μ and variance σ^2 . Then

$$Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

Connections among the Modes of Convergence

- $T_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} T \Rightarrow T_n \xrightarrow{p} T \Rightarrow T_n \xrightarrow{d} T.$
- If a is a constant, $T_n \xrightarrow{d} a \Rightarrow T_n \xrightarrow{p} a.$

Sometimes we say the distribution of the sample mean is approximately normal, or asymptotically normal.

- This is justified by the Central Limit Theorem.
- But it does *not* mean that \bar{X}_n converges in distribution to a normal random variable.
- The Law of Large Numbers says that \bar{X}_n converges almost surely (and in probability) to a constant, μ .
- So \bar{X}_n converges to μ in distribution as well.

Why would we say that for large n , the sample mean is approximately $N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$?

Have $Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} Pr\{\bar{X}_n \leq x\} &= Pr\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right\} \\ &= Pr\left\{Z_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right\} \approx \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose Y is *exactly* $N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr\{Y \leq x\} &= Pr\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}(Y - \mu)}{\sigma} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right\} \\ &= Pr\left\{Z_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right\} = \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(x - \mu)}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Convergence of random vectors I

- ① Definitions (All quantities in boldface are vectors in \mathbb{R}^m unless otherwise stated)

★ $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{T}$ means $P\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_n(\omega) = \mathbf{T}(\omega)\} = 1$.

★ $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T}$ means $\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\|\mathbf{T}_n - \mathbf{T}\| < \epsilon\} = 1$.

★ $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$ means for every continuity point \mathbf{t} of $F_{\mathbf{T}}$,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mathbf{T}_n}(\mathbf{t}) = F_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{t})$.

- ② $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$.

- ③ If \mathbf{a} is a vector of constants, $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{a}$.

- ④ Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN): Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ be independent and identically distributed random vectors with finite first moment, and let \mathbf{X} be a general random vector from the same distribution. Then $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(\mathbf{X})$.

- ⑤ Central Limit Theorem: Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ be i.i.d. random vectors with expected value vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})$ converges in distribution to a multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Convergence of random vectors II

6 Slutsky Theorems for Convergence in Distribution:

- 1 If $\mathbf{T}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$ and if $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ (where $q \leq m$) is continuous except possibly on a set C with $P(\mathbf{T} \in C) = 0$, then $f(\mathbf{T}_n) \xrightarrow{d} f(\mathbf{T})$.
- 2 If $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$ and $(\mathbf{T}_n - \mathbf{Y}_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$, then $\mathbf{Y}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$.
- 3 If $\mathbf{T}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{Y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{c}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_n \\ \mathbf{Y}_n \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix}$$

Convergence of random vectors III

7 Slutsky Theorems for Convergence in Probability:

- 1 If $\mathbf{T}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T}$ and if $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ (where $q \leq m$) is continuous except possibly on a set C with $P(\mathbf{T} \in C) = 0$, then $f(\mathbf{T}_n) \xrightarrow{P} f(\mathbf{T})$.
- 2 If $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T}$ and $(\mathbf{T}_n - \mathbf{Y}_n) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{Y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T}$.
- 3 If $\mathbf{T}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{Y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{T}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{Y}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_n \\ \mathbf{Y}_n \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{P} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

Convergence of random vectors IV

- 8 Delta Method (Theorem of Cramér, Ferguson p. 45): Let $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be such that the elements of $\dot{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \right]_{k \times d}$ are continuous in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If \mathbf{T}_n is a sequence of d -dimensional random vectors such that $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{T}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T}$, then $\sqrt{n}(g(\mathbf{T}_n) - g(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \xrightarrow{d} \dot{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\mathbf{T}$. In particular, if $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{T}_n - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{T} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then $\sqrt{n}(g(\mathbf{T}_n) - g(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \dot{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\dot{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})')$.

An application of the Slutsky Theorems

- Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (\mu, \sigma^2)$
- By CLT, $Y_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- Let $\hat{\sigma}_n$ be *any* consistent estimator of σ .
- Then by 6.3, $\mathbf{T}_n = \begin{pmatrix} Y_n \\ \hat{\sigma}_n \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{T}$
- The function $f(x, y) = x/y$ is continuous except if $y = 0$ so by 6.1,

$$f(\mathbf{T}_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}_n} \xrightarrow{d} f(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{Y}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Another application: Asymptotic normality of the sample variance

- Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (\mu, \sigma^2)$, and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$.
- Want to show $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)$ converges to a normal.
- Substitute μ for \bar{X}_n ? Look at $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$?
- If so, it's easy.
 - Let $Y_i = (X_i - \mu)^2$
 - $E(Y_i) = \sigma^2$
 - $Var(Y_i) = E(Y_i^2) - (E(Y_i))^2 = E(X_i - \mu)^4 - \sigma^4 = \sigma_y^2$.
 - $\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$
 - By CLT, $\sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_n - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} Y \sim N(0, \sigma_y^2)$.

Show $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2) - \sqrt{n} (\bar{Y}_n - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$

See 6.2

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu + \mu - \bar{X}_n)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(X_i - \mu)^2 + 2(X_i - \mu)(\mu - \bar{X}_n) + (\mu - \bar{X}_n)^2] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2 \\ &= \bar{Y}_n - (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2\end{aligned}$$

Using $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \bar{Y}_n - (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - \sigma^2) - \sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2) &= \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - \hat{\sigma}_n^2) \\
 &= \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - (\bar{Y}_n - (\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2)) \\
 &= \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2 \\
 &= \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \cdot (\bar{X}_n - \mu)
 \end{aligned}$$

- First term goes in distribution to $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ by CLT.
- Second term goes to zero in probability by LLN.
- $\left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \\ \bar{X}_n - \mu \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\begin{array}{c} X \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$ by 6.3.
- By continuous mapping 6.1, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \cdot (\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} X \cdot 0 = 0$
- Convergence in distribution to a constant implies convergence in probability (Rule 3), so the difference converges in probability to zero, and the result follows by 6.2 ■

The Result

- Because the difference between $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma^2)$ and $\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - \sigma^2)$ goes to zero in probability, they converge in distribution to the same target.
- Target is $N(0, \sigma_y^2)$
- $\sigma_y^2 = E(X_i - \mu)^4 - \sigma^4$.

Univariate delta method

In the multivariate Delta Method 8, the matrix $\dot{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a Jacobian. The univariate version of the delta method says that

If $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} T$ and $g''(x)$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of θ , then

$$\sqrt{n}(g(T_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\theta) T.$$

When using the Central Limit Theorem, *especially* if there is a $\theta \neq \mu$ in the model, it's safer to write

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\mu) T.$$

and then substitute for μ in terms of θ .

Delta Method Example

$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

$$E(X_i) = \text{Var}(X_i) = \lambda$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\bar{X}_n}} \xrightarrow{d} Z_1 \sim N(0, 1)$$

Confidence interval $\left(\bar{X}_n - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n}}, \bar{X}_n + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n}} \right)$

Maybe we can do better.

Delta Method says $\sqrt{n} (g(T_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\theta) T$

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda) \xrightarrow{d} X \sim N(0, \lambda).$$

$$\sqrt{n} (g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\lambda) X \sim N(0, g'(\lambda)^2 \lambda)$$

- Choose g to make the variance not depend on λ .
- How about $g(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{\lambda}$
 $g'(\lambda) = 2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.
- Variance of the target is $g'(\lambda)^2 \lambda = 1$.

So,

$$\sqrt{n} \left(2\sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - 2\sqrt{\lambda} \right) \xrightarrow{d} Z_2 \sim N(0, 1).$$

$$\sqrt{n} \left(2\sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - 2\sqrt{\lambda} \right) \xrightarrow{d} Z_2 \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0.95 &\approx P \left\{ -z_{\alpha/2} < \sqrt{n} \left(2\sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - 2\sqrt{\lambda} \right) < z_{\alpha/2} \right\} \\ &= P \left\{ -\frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} < \sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - \sqrt{\lambda} < \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\ &= P \left\{ \sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} < \sqrt{\lambda} < \sqrt{\bar{X}_n} + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\ &= P \left\{ \left(\sqrt{\bar{X}_n} - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 < \lambda < \left(\sqrt{\bar{X}_n} + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Compare $P \left\{ \bar{X}_n - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n}} < \lambda < \bar{X}_n + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n}} \right\}$

The delta method comes from Taylor's Theorem

Taylor's Theorem: Let the n th derivative $f^{(n)}$ be continuous in $[a, b]$ and differentiable in (a, b) , with x and x_0 in (a, b) . Then there exists a point ξ between x and x_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2}{2!} + \dots \\ &+ \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^n}{n!} + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)(x - x_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

where $R_n = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$ is called the *remainder term*. If $R_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the resulting infinite series is called the *Taylor Series* for $f(x)$.

Taylor's Theorem with two terms plus remainder

Very common in applications

Let $g(x)$ be a function for which $g''(x)$ is continuous in an open interval containing $x = \theta$. Then

$$g(x) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(x - \theta) + \frac{g''(\theta^*)(x - \theta)^2}{2!}$$

where θ^* is between x and θ .

Delta method

Using $g(x) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(x - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta^*)(x - \theta)^2$

Let $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} T$ so that $T_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(g(T_n) - g(\theta)) &= \sqrt{n} \left(g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(T_n - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta_n^*)(T_n - \theta)^2 - g(\theta) \right) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left(g'(\theta)(T_n - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta_n^*)(T_n - \theta)^2 \right) \\ &= g'(\theta) \sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta_n^*) \cdot \sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \cdot (T_n - \theta) \\ &\xrightarrow{d} g'(\theta)T + 0\end{aligned}$$

That was fun, but it was all univariate.

- The multivariate CLT establishes convergence to a multivariate normal.
- Vectors of MLEs are approximately multivariate normal for large samples.
- The multivariate delta method can yield the asymptotic distribution of useful functions of the MLE vector.

We need to look at random vectors and the multivariate normal distribution.

Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by **Jerry Brunner**, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License**. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The \LaTeX source code is available from the course website:
<http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/oldclass/2053f22>