Mathematical Statistics II

STA2212H S LEC9101

Week 1

January 7 2025

Could Dark Chocolate Reduce Your Risk of Diabetes?

A new study suggests that it might. We asked experts if that's too good to be true.

▶ Listen to this article • 6:55 min Learn more



Rosemary Calvert/Getty Images



- 1. Course Overview
- 2. Review of Likelihood STA 2112S
- 3. Properties of maximum likelihood estimators MS Ch. 5.4,5
- 4. Statistics in the News

Link

STA 2212S: Mathematical Statistics II Tuesday, 10.00-13.00

January 7 – April 1 2025

Course description:

This course is a continuation of STA2112H. It is designed for graduate students in statistics and biostatistics. Topics include: Likelihood inference, Bayesian methods, Significance testing, Hypothesis testing, Goodness-of-fit, Robust inference, Causality, Classification. Prerequisite: STA2112H

Course content

The course Quercus page has January 7 2025

- Mathematical Statistics II
- A regularly updated syllabus

STA 2212S: Mathematical Statistics II Syllabus

Link

Spring 2025

	Week	Date	Methods	References
	1	Jan 7	Likelihood inference: review of ML estimation; mis-specified models; computation; nonparametric mle	MS §§5.1–7, SM Ch 4
	2	Jan 14	Bayesian estimation; Bayesian in- ference	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	3	Jan 21	Optimality in estimation	MS Ch 6; AoS Ch 12; SM §7.1, 11.5.2
Mathematical Statistic	4 cs II Ja	Jan 28 nuary 7 202	Interval estimation; Confidence bands	MS \S 7.1,2; AoS Ch 7; SM \S 7.1.4

3

Link

HW Question Week 1 STA 2212S 2025

Due January 14 MS, Exercise 5.2

Suppose $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ are independent pairs of random variables where X_i and Y_i are i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ random variables:

$$f(x_i;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i-\mu)^2\}; \quad f(y_i;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i-\mu)^2\};$$

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimators $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ of μ and σ^2 .

(b) Show that
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$$
 as $n \to \infty$

(c) Suppose now that each pair (X_i, Y_i) has a different expected value, $\mu_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Show that the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2/2$ as $n \to \infty$.

Mathematical Statistics II

4

Link

Project Guidelines

STA 2212S: Mathematical Statistics II 2025

5

The final project involves reading and reporting on a paper in the statistical literature, or a paper that uses statistical methods from the course. A list of potential papers will be provided. You will work in teams of two.

Presentation on April 1, 2025. Report submission due April 15, 2025.

Part 1: Presentation [10 points]

On the last day of class (April 1), your team will present your final project; presentations will be 10 minutes long. Detailed guidance on the presentation will be provided.

Part 2: Write-up [40 points]

Your write-up should be: (1): no more than 10 pages, 12 point font, 1.5 vertical spacing; (2) Contain the four sections below, each partner to complete two sections; (3) Include a title page with the title and Mathematical Statistics of the paper, 2016 first and last names of the report authors and which section they wrote. (4) Include a list of references.

My likelihood cheatsheet is available here

STA2212: Inference and Likelihood

A. Notation

One random variable: Given a model for X which assumes X has a density $f(x; \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, we have the following definitions:

Independent observations: When we have X_i independent, identically distributed from $f(x_i; \theta)$, then, denoting the observed sample $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we have:

Mathematical Statistics II

Today: Parametric estimation & inference

Topics covered

- * Parametric Inference
- \star Method of Moments (MOM) Estimation
- * Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)
- $\star\,$ Properties of MLEs

Reading

- * Recommended: Knight Chp 4.5, 5.1-5.4
- \star Additional: Wasserman Chp 9.1-9.4

Onward: The likelihood function and its log

The Likelihood Function

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid with pdf $f(x_i; \theta)$. The **likelihood func-tion** is the joint probability of the observations considered as a function of the parameter,

$$L_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i;\theta)$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell_n(\theta) = \log L_n(\theta)$$

Mathematical Statistics II January 7 2025

Visualizing the likelihood function and its log



Properties of MLEs

Properties

- \star The MLE, $\hat{\theta}_n$ is **consistent** for θ
- * The MLE for $g(\theta)$ is $g(\hat{\theta}_n)$, that is, the MLE is **equiv**-ariant
- $\star\,$ The MLE is asymptotically normal
- $\star\,$ The MLE is asymptotically optimal or efficient

last term

Example 1: $X_i \sim Geom(\theta), \quad i = 1, ..., n$

 $f(x) = \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1}, x = 1, \dots, 0 < \theta < 1$

Example 2: $X_i \sim LocExp(\theta), \quad i = 1, ..., n$

 $f(x) = \exp\{-(x - \theta)\}, x > \theta, \theta > 0$

```
geomlik <- function(theta,x){
   theta^length(x)*(1-theta)^(sum(x)-length(x))}</pre>
```

```
geomllik <- function(theta, x){
    log(geomlik(theta,x))-max(log(geomlik(theta,x)))}</pre>
```

```
n <- 10; prob <- 0.5
x <- rgeom(n, prob) + 1 #R definition different from mine</pre>
```

```
thvals <- seq(0,1,length=100)
```

```
plot(thvals,geomllik(thvals, x), type="1", lwd=2)
```

```
for(i in 1:15){
    x <- rgeom(n,prob)+1
    lines(thvals,geomllik(thvals,x), col="gray") }
Mathematical Statistics II January 7 2025</pre>
```



Likelihood quantities



Mathematical Statistics II January 7 2020

13

Vector parameters

MS §5.4 (p.256ff)

• model $X \sim f(x; \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$	θ is a column vector	$X \in \mathbb{R}^n$
• L(θ; X)		map from $\mathbb{R}^p o \mathbb{R}$
• <i>ℓ</i> ′(<i>θ</i> ; x)		p imes 1 vector
• $-\ell''(\theta; \mathbf{x})$		p imes p matrix

... Vector parameters

- model $X \sim f(x; \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ θ is a column vector
- L(heta; x) map from $\mathbb{R}^p o \mathbb{R}$
- $\ell'(\theta; \mathbf{X})$ $p \times 1$ vector
- $-\ell''(\theta; \mathbf{X})$ $p \times p$ matrix

I = E(J)

Coefficients:

Math

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
(Intercept)	-34.103704	6.530014	-5.223	1.76e-07	***
zn	-0.079918	0.033731	-2.369	0.01782	*
indus	-0.059389	0.043722	-1.358	0.17436	
chas	0.785327	0.728930	1.077	0.28132	
nox	48.523782	7.396497	6.560	5.37e-11	***
rm	-0.425596	0.701104	-0.607	0.54383	
ematical Statistics II age	0.0221725	0.012221	1.814	0.06963	

```
Boston.glm <- glm(crim2 ~ . - crim, family = binomial,
                      data = Boston) #fit logistic regression
    confint(Boston.glm)
    Waiting for profiling to be done...
                        2.5 % 97.5 %
    (Intercept) -47.480389822 -21.699753794
                 -0.152359922 -0.020567540
    zn
               -0.149113408 0.024168460
    indus
    chas
              -0.646429219 2.233443233
                34,967619055 64,088411260
   nox
                 -1.811639107 0.950196261
    \mathbf{rm}
                 -0.001231256
                              0.046865843
   age
   dis
                  0.280762523 1.140619391
   rad
                  0.376833861 0.975898274
Mathematical Statistics II
                 -0.012038221
                               -0.001324887
```

Waiting for profiling to be done - what's profiling?

Profile likelihood function

Figure 4.1 Likelihoods for the spring failure data at stress 950 N/mm². The upper left panel is the likelihood for the exponential model, and below it is a perspective plot of the likelihood for the Weibull model. The upper right panel shows contours of the log likelihood for the Weibull model; the exponential likelihood is obtained by setting $\alpha = 1$, that is, slicing L along the vertical dotted line. The lower right panel shows the profile log likelihood for α , which corresponds to the log likelihood values along the dashed line in the panel above, plotted against α .



Mathematical Statistics II

maximum likelihood estimators are equivariant

maximum likelihood estimators are biased

special exceptions

• maximum likelihood estimators have no explicit formula

in general

example

...Properties of maximum likelihood estimators

• maximum likelihood estimators minimize the KL-divergence to the data

MS §5.2

...Properties of maximum likelihood estimators

- maximum likelihood estimators minimize the KL-divergence to the data
- KL divergence from f_0 true to f_θ model :

$$\mathit{KL}(f_{ heta};f_{ extsf{o}})\equiv\mathsf{E}_{f_{ extsf{o}}}\log\left\{rac{f_{ extsf{o}}(X)}{f_{ heta}(X)}
ight\}=-\mathsf{E}_{f_{ extsf{o}}}\log\{f(X; heta)\}+\mathsf{E}_{f_{ extsf{o}}}\log f_{ extsf{o}}(X)$$

• estimate of $E_{f_0} \log{f(X; \theta)}$?

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log\{f(x_i;\theta)\}\$$

• minimize $KL(f_{\theta}; f_{o})$ same as maximize $\ell(\theta; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})$

Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimators

- maximum likelihood estimators are (i) consistent, (ii) asymptotically normal
- (ii) TS expansion

p.256

MS Thm 5.1-5.4

Suppose

$$\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$$
, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_p)$

$$a_n(\mathbf{x}-\theta) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{Z},$$

and $g(\mathbf{x})$ is continuously differentiable at θ , then

$$\{g_1(\mathbf{x}),\ldots,g_k(\mathbf{x})\}$$

$$a_n\{g(oldsymbol{x}) - g(heta)\} \stackrel{d}{
ightarrow} {\mathsf D}(heta){oldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}}$$

where $D(\theta) =$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N\{0, I^{-1}(\theta)\}$$

$\sqrt{n}\{g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} N\{o, g'(\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} I^{-1}(\theta) g'(\theta)\}$

See also AoS §9.9

Example

MS Ex.5.15

 $X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ i.i.d. Gamma } (\alpha, \lambda)$ $f(x_i; \lambda, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha} x_i^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\lambda x_i)$



find a.var $(\hat{\mu})$ via mv delta method

Newton-Raphson:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{O} &= \ell'(\hat{\theta}) \approx \ell'(\theta_{\mathsf{O}}) + \ell''(\theta_{\mathsf{O}})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_{\mathsf{O}}) \\ &\hat{\theta} \approx \theta_{\mathsf{O}} - \{\ell''(\theta_{\mathsf{O}})\}^{-1}\ell'(\theta_{\mathsf{O}}) \end{split}$$

suggests iteration

$$\hat{\theta}^{(k+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(k)} + \{-\ell''(\hat{\theta}^{(k)})\}^{-1}\ell'(\hat{\theta}^{(k)}) = \hat{\theta}^{(k)} + \frac{S(\theta^{(k)})}{H(\hat{\theta}^{(k)})}$$

MS p.270; note change in notation

- requires reasonably good starting values for convergence
- need $-\ell^{\prime\prime}(\hat{ heta}^{(k)})$ to be non-negative definite
- Fisher scoring replaces $-\ell''(\cdot)$ by its expected value $J(\cdot)$
- N-R and F-S are gradient methods; many improvements have been developed
- solution is a global max only if $\ell(\theta)$ is concave

... Calculating maximum likelihood estimators

E-M algorithm:

- complete data $\mathbf{X} \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$
- observed data $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$, with $y_i = g_i(\mathbf{x})$
- joint density $f_{Y}(y; \theta) = \int_{A(y)} f_{X}(x; \theta) dx$
- algorithm:
 - 1. (E step) estimate the complete data log-likelihood function for θ using current guess $\hat{\theta}^{(k)}$
 - 2. (M step) maximize that function over heta and update to $\hat{ heta}^{(k+1)}$ usually by N-R or Fisher scoring
- likelihood function increases at each step
- can be implemented in complex models
- doesn't automatically provide an estimate of the asymptotic variance

but methods exist to obtain this as a side-product

procedure

manv-to-one

 $A(v) = \{x; v_i = q_i(x), i = 1, ..., m\}$

Example

•
$$f_X(x_i; \lambda, \mu, \theta) = \alpha \frac{e^{-\lambda} x^{\lambda}}{x!} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{e^{-\mu} x^{\mu}}{x!}, \quad x = 1, 2, ...; \lambda, \mu > 0, 0 < \theta < 1$$

- Observed data: x_1, \ldots, x_n
- Complete data: $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n); y_i \sim Bernoulli(\theta)$
- Complete data log-likelihood function:

$$\ell_c(\alpha,\lambda,\mu;\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \{\log(\alpha) + x_i \log(\lambda) - \lambda\} + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-y_i) \{\log(1-\theta) + x_i \log(\mu) - \mu\}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\hat{\theta}^{(k)}}\{\ell_{c}(\alpha,\lambda,\mu;\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{y}_{i}\{\log(\alpha) + x_{i}\log(\lambda) - \lambda\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \hat{y}_{i})\{\log(1 - \alpha) + x_{i}\log(\mu) - \mu\}$$

• $\hat{y}_i = \mathrm{E}(Y_i \mid x_i; \hat{\theta}^{(k)})$

see p.280 for exact value

- maximizing values of $lpha,\lambda,\mu$ can be obtained in closed form

p.281

AoS likes to work with $\log \mathcal{L}_n(\theta) / \mathcal{L}_n(\hat{\theta}^{(k)})$



General-purpose Optimization

Description

General-purpose optimization based on Nelder–Mead, quasi-Newton and conjugate-gradient algorithms. It includes an option for box-constrained optimization and simulated annealing.

Usage

```
optim(par, fn, gr = NULL, ...,
    method = c("Nelder-Mead", "BFGS", "CG", "L-BFGS-B", "SANN",
                            "Brent"),
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf,
    control = list(), hessian = FALSE)
optimHess(par, fn, gr = NULL, ..., control = list())
```

Notes on optimization: Tibshirani, Pena, Kolter CO 10-725 CMU

- Goal: max_θ ℓ(θ; **x**)
- Solve: $\ell'(\hat{\theta}; \mathbf{X}) = 0$
- Iterate: $\hat{\theta}^{(t+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(t)} + \{j(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})\}^{-1}\ell'(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})$
- Rewrite: $j(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})(\hat{\theta}^{(t+1)} \hat{\theta}^{(t)}) = \ell'(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})$

 $\mathsf{B}\Delta\theta = -\nabla\ell(\theta)$

- Quasi-Newton:
 - approximate $j(\hat{ heta}^{(t)})$ with something easy to invert
 - use information from $j(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})$ to compute $j(\hat{\theta}^{(t+1)})$
- optimization notes add a step size to the iteration $\hat{\theta}^{(t+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(t)} + \epsilon_t \{j(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})\}^{-1} \ell'(\hat{\theta}^{(t)})$

```
optim(par, fn, gr = NULL, ...,
    method = c("Nelder-Mead", "BFGS", "CG", "L-BFGS-B", "SANN", "Brent"),
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf, control = list(), hessian = FALSE)
```

- (B1) The parameter space Θ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p
- (B2) The set $A = \{x : f(x; \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ
- + (B3) $\ell(\theta)$ is three times continuously differentiable on A

- (B1) The parameter space Θ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p
- (B2) The set $A = \{x : f(x; \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ
- (B3) $\ell(\theta)$ is three times continuously differentiable on A
- (B4) $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\{\ell'(\theta; X_i)\} = 0 \quad \forall \theta \text{ and } Cov\{\ell'(\theta; X_i)\} = I(\theta) \text{ is positive definite } \forall \theta$
- (B5) $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \{ -\ell''(\theta; X_i) \} = J(\theta)$ is positive definite $\forall \theta$
- (B6) For each ${m heta}, \delta >$ 0, 1 \leq j, k, l, \leq p,

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\theta^{*};\mathbf{x}_{i})}{\partial\theta_{j}\partial\theta_{k}\partial\theta_{l}}\right| \leq M_{jkl}(\theta^{*}),$$

for $||\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*|| \leq \delta$, where $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\{M_{jkl}(X_i)\} < \infty$

- (B1) The parameter space Θ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p
- (B2) The set $A = \{x : f(x; \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ
- (B3) $\ell(\theta)$ is three times continuously differentiable on A
- (B4) $E_{\theta}\{\ell'(\theta; X_i)\} = 0 \quad \forall \theta \text{ and } Cov\{\ell'(\theta; X_i)\} = I(\theta) \text{ is positive definite } \forall \theta$
- (B5) $E_{\theta}\{-\ell''(\theta; X_i)\} = J(\theta)$ is positive definite $\forall \theta$
- (B6) For each ${m heta}, \delta >$ 0, 1 \leq j, k, l, \leq p,

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{3}\ell(\theta^{*};\mathbf{X}_{i})}{\partial\theta_{j}\partial\theta_{k}\partial\theta_{l}}\right| \leq M_{jkl}(\theta^{*}),$$

for $||\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^*|| \leq \delta$, where $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\{M_{jkl}(X_i)\} < \infty$

Misspecified models

- model assumption X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $f(x; \theta), \theta \in \Theta$
- true distribution X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. F(x)
- maximum likelihood estimator based on model:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell'(\hat{\theta}_n; X_i) = \mathsf{O}$$

• what is $\hat{\theta}_n$ estimating ?

Misspecified models

- model assumption X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $f(x; \theta), \theta \in \Theta$
- true distribution X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. F(x)
- maximum likelihood estimator based on model:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell'(\hat{\theta}_n; X_i) = 0$$

- what is $\hat{\theta}_n$ estimating ?
- define the parameter $\theta(F)$ by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ell'\{x; \theta(F)\} dF(x) = \mathsf{O}$$

$$\sqrt{n}\{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta(F)\} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(O, \sigma^2)$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{\int [\ell'\{\mathbf{x}; \theta(F)\}]^{2} dF(\mathbf{x})}{(\int [\ell''\{\mathbf{x}; \theta(F)\}]^{2} dF(\mathbf{x}))^{2}}$$

Mathematical Statistics II January 7 2025

.

.

notation

.

.

.

.

$$\sqrt{n}\{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta(F)\} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2)$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{\int [\ell'\{\mathbf{x}; \theta(F)\}]^{2} dF(\mathbf{x})}{(\int [\ell''\{\mathbf{x}; \theta(F)\}]^{2} dF(\mathbf{x}))^{2}}$$

• more generally, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\sqrt{n}\{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta(F)\} \xrightarrow{d} N_p\{\mathsf{O}, G^{-1}(F)\}$$

-1/->//-

$$G(F) = J(F)I^{-1}(F)J(F),$$
$$J(F) = \int -\ell''\{\theta(F); x_i\}dF(x_i), \quad I(F) = \int \{\ell'(\theta(F); x_i)\}\{\ell'(\theta(F); x_i)\}^T dF(x_i)$$

Godambe information

sandwich variance

Statistics in the News

Could Dark Chocolate Reduce Your Risk of Diabetes?

A new study suggests that it might. We asked experts if that's too good to be true.





Mathematical Statistics Brigham and Women's Hospital 2000 IN OUTCOME MEASURE

and Harvard Medical School. Boston, MA, USA

Self-reported incident T2D, with patients identified by follow-up questionnaires and confirmed through mechanisms.

... Original study

RESEARCH

Chocolate intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: prospective cohort

Check for updates studies

Convention dence for O Surp.

Binkai Liu,¹ Geng Zong,^{2,3} Lu Zhu,¹ Yang Hu,¹ JoAnn E Manson,^{4,5,6} Molin Wang,^{4,5,7} Eric B Rimm,^{1,4,5} Frank B Hu,^{1,4,5} Qi Sun^{1,4,5}

ABSTRACT

ol of Mi USA OBJECTIVE Disposed with and total chocolate consumption and risk and of type 2 dialastes (T2B) in three US cohorts. (Charles DeStolw)

Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shorghoi, Prospective cohort studies.

Nurses' Health Study (NHS; 1986-2018), Nurses' Maakh Study (IDMS): 1991, 2021), and Mealth

Professionals follow-Up Study (HPFS; 1986-2020). PARTICIPANTS At study baseline for total chocolate analyses

PAdd Honey, Salason, WA, WA Channey, Balason, MA, WA Madison, Boyanne Millowin, Markin Markin, Salason, Salason, Markin, Salason, Salason, Markin, Salason, Salason

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE

Self-separated incident T20, with patients identified by follow-up questionnaises and confirmed through a validated supplementary questionnaire. Conproportional hazards regression was used to estimate hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) for

who never or tracky constructed closalatis. In a analyses by chossila walkpans, 5/71 perged walk in insiderer 120 never identifield. Petrolysamist who commands M 213 (N) (N) is 0.4 Petrol-30, 0.0 Petro

CONCLUSIONS

Increased censumption of dark, but not mile, checolate new associated with lever risk of 72b, increased censumption of mile, but not dark, checolate was associated with leng term weight gain. Further candenized controlled trials are needed to replicate these findings and further explore the mechanisms.

Introduction

The global prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) has increased noticeably over the past few decades, with Results: After adjusting for personal, lifestyle, and dietary risk factors, participants consuming \geq 5 servings/week of any chocolate showed a significant 10% (95% CI 2% to 17%; P trend=0.07) lower rate of T2D compared with those who never or rarely consumed chocolate