

STA 431 s 23 Assignment 4

17

(1) (a) $\Theta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \mu_{x1}, \mu_{x2}, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{12}, \psi)$

(b) $\Theta = \{(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \mu_{x1}, \mu_{x2}, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}, \sigma_{12}, \psi) :$

$-\infty < \beta_j < \infty, -\infty < \mu_{xj} < \infty, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ positive definite, } \psi > 0 \}$

(c) $\Theta = \{(\text{etc.}) : \beta_1 = \beta_2, \sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = \psi = 1, -1 < \sigma_{12} < 1 \}$

(d)

β_0	β_1	β_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_{11}	σ_{22}	σ_{12}	ψ
0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

L

$\begin{matrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \mu_{x1} \\ \mu_{x2} \\ \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \\ \psi \end{matrix}$

=

$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$

$= h$

$$(2d) \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{a^T \hat{\theta}_n - h}{\sqrt{a^T \hat{V}_n a}}$$

$$W_n = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ 1 \times 1}}{(a^T \hat{\theta}_n - h)^T} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ 1 \times 1}}{(a^T \hat{V}_n a)^{-1}} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ 1 \times 1}}{(a^T \hat{\theta}_n - h)}$$

$$= \frac{(a^T \hat{\theta}_n - h)^2}{a^T \hat{V}_n a} = \tilde{z}^2$$

3

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} x_i^{\alpha-1} (1-x_i)^{\beta-1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)^n}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n \Gamma(\beta)^n} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) \right)^{\beta-1}$$

$$l(\alpha, \beta) = \ln L(\alpha, \beta) = n(\ln \Gamma(\alpha + \beta) - \ln \Gamma(\alpha) - \ln \Gamma(\beta)) \\ + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1-x_i), \text{ so}$$

$$- \ln(\alpha, \beta) = n(\ln \Gamma(\alpha) + \ln \Gamma(\beta) - \ln \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)) \\ - (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1-x_i)$$

R work for Question 3

```
> # Q3: Numerical MLE for beta
>
> rm(list=ls())
> bdata = scan("https://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/openSEM/data/beta24.data.txt")
Read 500 items
> # Beta minus log likelihood
> bml1 = function(ab,xx)
+   {
+     nn = length(xx); a = ab[1]; b = ab[2]
+     value = nn*(lgamma(a)+lgamma(b) - lgamma(a+b)) -
+       (a-1)*sum(log(xx)) - (b-1)*sum(log((1-xx)))
+     return(value)
+   } # End of function bml1
>
> bsearch = optim(par=c(1,1), fn = bml1,
+               method = "L-BFGS-B", lower = c(0,0), hessian=TRUE, xx=bdata)
> bsearch
```

\$par

```
[1] 1.956054 4.026869
```

\$value

```
[1] -184.783
```

\$counts

```
function gradient
      12      12
```

\$convergence

```
[1] 0
```

\$message

```
[1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
```

\$hessian

```
      [,1]      [,2]
[1,] 240.64932 -90.94232
[2,] -90.94232  49.90191
```

```

> # (a) MLE
> thetahat = bsearch$par
> thetahat # (alphahat, betahat)
[1] 1.956054 4.026869

> # (b) Likelihood ratio test of H0: beta = 2 alpha
> # Search restricted parameter space
> bml10 = function(beta,datta) bml1(c(beta/2,beta), xx=datta)
> bsearch0 = optim(par=1, fn = bml10, method = "L-BFGS-B", lower = 0, datta=bdata)
> bsearch0

$par
[1] 3.943671

$value
[1] -184.458

$counts
function gradient
          9          9

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
[1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"

>
> # (c) Test H0: mu = 2.1. Reject if |z| > 1.96
> z = (muhat-2.1)/se_muhat; z
[1] -2.844819

> Gsq = 2 * (bsearch0$value - bsearch$value)
> dfree=1
> pval = 1-pchisq(Gsq,dfree)
> c(Gsq,dfree,pval)
[1] 0.6498473 1.0000000 0.4201673

>
> # (c) Wald test
> source("https://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/openSEM/fun/Wtest.txt")
> L = rbind(c(2,-1))
> Vhat = solve(bsearch$hessian)
> Wtest(L,Tn=thetahat,Vn=Vhat)
      W      df    p-value
0.6436909 1.0000000 0.4223774

>
> # (d) CI for 2 alpha - beta
> a = rbind(2,-1)
> se = as.numeric(sqrt(t(a)%*%Vhat%*%a)); se
[1] 0.1430393

> est = as.numeric(t(a)%*%thetahat); est
[1] -0.114761

> # (est/se)^2 # Wald stat
> lower95 = est - 1.96*se; upper95 = est + 1.96*se
> c(lower95, upper95)
[1] -0.3951180 0.1655961

```

R work for Question 4

```
> # Q4: Simulation from simple regression through the origin
>
> rm(list=ls())
> # Set sample size and parameter values
> n = 1000; beta = 1 ; mux = 0 ; sigmasqx = 2 ; sigmasqepsilon = 3
>
> # (a) Simulate from the model
> set.seed(9999)
> x = rnorm(n,mux,sqrt(sigmasqx))
> epsilon = rnorm(n,0,sqrt(sigmasqepsilon))
> y = beta*x + epsilon
>
> # (b) Estimate beta
> betahat1 = mean(y)/mean(x)
> betahat2 = var(x,y) / var(x)
> c(betahat1,betahat2)
```

```
[1] 25.870249  1.048945
```

```
> lm(y~x) # Checking betahat2
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = y ~ x)
```

Coefficients:

```
(Intercept)          x
  0.06713         1.04894
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{5} \text{(a)} \quad E(\hat{\beta} | X) &= E\left\{ (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y \mid X \right\} \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E\{y \mid X\} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E(X\beta + \varepsilon \mid X) \\
 &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\beta + 0) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta = \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

conditionally unbiased

$$(b) \quad E(\hat{\beta}) = E\left(E\{\hat{\beta} \mid X\}\right) = E(\beta) = \beta$$

$$(c) \quad P(F > f_c) = \sum_x \dots \sum_x P\{F > f_c \mid \mathcal{X} = x\} P(\mathcal{X} = x)$$

$$= \sum_x \dots \sum_x \alpha P(\mathcal{X} = x)$$

$$= \alpha \sum_x \dots \sum_x P(\mathcal{X} = x)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{6} \text{ (a) } l(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \log L(\theta_1, \theta_2) \\
 &= \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_{\theta_1}(y_i | x_i) h_{\theta_2}(x_i) \right) \\
 &= \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_{\theta_1}(y_i | x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n h_{\theta_2}(x_i) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log g_{\theta_1}(y_i | x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log h_{\theta_2}(x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand term is the log likelihood for a conditional model. It is clear that if you differentiate with respect to any element of θ_1 , the second term equals zero and has no effect. As a result, the MLE $\hat{\theta}_1$ is the same for the conditional and unconditional models.

(b) By the work above $L(\theta) = L_1(\theta_1) L_2(\theta_2)$,
 so

$$G^2 = -2 \log \frac{L(\hat{\theta}_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = -2 \log \frac{L_1(\hat{\theta}_{10}) L_2(\hat{\theta}_2)}{L_1(\hat{\theta}_1) L_2(\hat{\theta}_2)}$$

Because H_0 places no restriction on θ_2

This is the likelihood ratio test statistic for the conditional model.