

P 4.7

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_i &= E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n c(X_n) \mid X_0 = i \right] \\
 &= E \left[ E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n c(X_n) \mid X_0 = i, X_1 \right] \right] \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n c(X_n) \mid X_0 = i, X_1 = j \right] P \{ X_1 = j \mid X_0 = i \}
 \end{aligned}$$

MISSING THEOREM

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Downarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E \left[ \beta^0 c(i) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n c(X_n) \mid X_1 = j \right] P_{ij} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (c(i) P_{ij} + E \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n c(X_n) \mid X_1 = j \right] P_{ij}) \\
 &= c(i) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{ij} + \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{n-1} c(X_n) \mid X_1 = j \right] P_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

Stationary

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Downarrow c(i) + \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k c(X_k) \mid Y_0 = j \right] P_{ij} \\
 &= c(i) + \beta \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j P_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: In the missing theorem,  $g(y_1, y_2, \dots)$

$$= c(j) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n c(y_n). \text{ By stationarity, the conditional}$$

distribution of  $g(X_2, X_3, \dots)$  given  $X_1 = j$  equals that of  $g(X_1, X_2, \dots)$  given  $X_0 = j$ , the conditional expectations are also equal.

## The “Missing Theorem”

Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  be a stationary Markov chain. Then for any function  $g$ ,

$$Pr\{g(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots) = x | X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = i\} = Pr\{g(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots) = x | X_{n-1} = i\}.$$

Because the Markov chain is stationary, this probability does not depend on  $n$ . Also, for your entertainment the function has to be measurable, but of course I did not say that.

Our text actually assumes this in quite a few places without saying so explicitly. For example, time until “eventual” absorption is definitely a function of an infinite number of values in the future. Is it so obvious that you can say  $Pr\{X_T = 0 | X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = i\} = Pr\{X_T = 0 | X_{n-1} = i\}$  by the Markov property as stated in our book? Not to me.