

# Proportional Hazards Regression<sup>1</sup>

STA312 Fall 2023

---

<sup>1</sup>See last slide for copyright information.

# Background Reading

Chapter 5 in *Applied Survival Analysis Using R* by Dirk Moore

# Overview

- 1 Model
- 2 Estimation and Testing

# Proportional Hazards

- Suppose two individuals have different  $\mathbf{x}$  vectors of explanatory variable values.
- They will have different hazard functions.
- But suppose the *hazard ratio*  $\frac{h_1(t)}{h_2(t)}$  does not depend on time  $t$ .
- Exponential regression and Weibull regression fit this pattern.
- Proportional hazards regression is a generalization.

# Proportional Hazards Regression

Also called Cox regression after Sir David Cox

Write the hazard function

$$\begin{aligned}h_i(t|\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= h_0(t) \psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= h_0(t) e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}, \text{ or sometimes} \\ &= h_0(t) e^{\beta_0 + \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}\end{aligned}$$

- $h_0(t)$  is called the *baseline hazard function*.
- Baseline because it's the hazard function when  $\psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 1$ .
- Maybe the patient is in the reference category, and the quantitative explanatory variables are centered.
- In theory  $\psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  could be almost anything as long as the resulting hazard function is positive.
- But in practice it's almost always  $e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , Cox's original suggestion.

# Exponential and Weibull Regression

$$h_i(t|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = h_0(t) \psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = h_0(t) e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

- Exponential regression:  $h_i(t|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \lambda = e^{-\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 
  - $h_0(t) = 1$
  - $\psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = e^{-\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$
- Weibull regression:  $h_i(t|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}\} t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}$ 
  - $h_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} t^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-1}$
  - $\psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \exp\{-\frac{1}{\sigma} \mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}\}$
- Are these really special cases of the proportional hazards model, with  $\psi_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$ ?
- Yes, by a re-parameterization.
- $\beta_j$  of proportional hazards =  $-\beta_j$  of exponential regression.
- $\beta_j$  of proportional hazards =  $-\beta_j/\sigma$  of Weibull regression.
- The main implication is that in proportional hazards regression, the coefficients mean the *opposite* of what you are used to.
- Anything that makes  $\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}$  bigger will increase the hazard, and make the chances of survival *smaller*.

# The Hazard Ratio

Form a ratio of hazard functions. In the numerator, increase  $x_{i,k}$  by one unit while holding all other  $x_{i,j}$  values constant.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_1(t)}{h_2(t)} &= \frac{h_0(t) \exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \cdots + \beta_k (x_{i,k} + 1) + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} x_{i,p-1}\}}{h_0(t) \exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{i,k} + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} x_{i,p-1}\}} \\ &= e^{\beta_k} \end{aligned}$$

- Holding the other  $x_{i,j}$  values constant is the meaning of “controlling” for explanatory variables.
- If  $\beta_k > 0$ , increasing  $x_{i,k}$  increases the hazard.
- If  $\beta_k < 0$ , increasing  $x_{i,k}$  decreases the hazard.

# “Semi-parametric”

$$h_i(t|\boldsymbol{\beta}) = h_0(t) e^{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

- The unknown quantities in the model are the vector of regression parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , and the unknown baseline hazard function  $h_0(t)$ .
- We can avoid making any assumptions about  $h_0(t)$ .
- But because of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , it's partly parametric.



# Estimation: Using Ideas From Kaplan-Meier

- As in the Kaplan-Meier estimate, we focus on the uncensored observations, for which the failure time is known.
- The censored observations will have their influence by disappearing from the set of individuals at risk.
- There are  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i$  uncensored observations.
- Denote the ordered times at which failures occur by  $t_1, \dots, t_D$ .
- This notation can be confusing, because the entire set of times, including censoring times, is usually denoted  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ .
- Some books (for example Chapter 3 in *Applied Survival Analysis* by Hosmer and Lemeshow) use the notation  $t_{(1)}, \dots, t_{(D)}$ .
- The index set of individuals at risk at failure time  $t_j$  is  $R_j$ .
- One of them fails.

# Hazard

- The hazard function  $h(t_j) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{P(t_j \leq T \leq t_j + \Delta | T \geq t_j)}{\Delta}$  is roughly proportional to the probability of failure at time  $t_j$ , conditionally on survival to that point.
- Make the hazard at a failure time into an actual probability. Normalize it, dividing by the total hazards of all the individuals at risk:

$$q_{(i)} = 1 - p_{(i)} = \frac{h_0(t) e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sum_{j \in R_{(i)}} h_0(t) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}} = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sum_{j \in R_{(i)}} e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}$$

- First, notice that the baseline hazard cancels, including  $e^{\beta_0}$ .
- These really are like the  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  in Kaplan-Meier estimation.
- Except, instead of dividing by the *number* of individuals at risk, they are weighted by their hazards.
- And those hazards depend on the explanatory variable values through  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

Estimating  $\beta$ 

Now we have failure probabilities  $q_{(i)} = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \beta}}{\sum_{j \in R_{(i)}} e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \beta}}$ .

How can these be used to estimate  $\beta$ ? Cox suggested ...

- Multiply them together and treat them as a likelihood.
- Take the minus log, and minimize over  $\beta$ .
- He suggested that all the usual likelihood theory should hold.
- Fisher information, asymptotic normality, likelihood ratio tests: everything.
- He called it *partial* likelihood.
- **Why?!**

# Partial Likelihood

Using  $h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)} \iff f(t) = h(t)S(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(t_i|\theta)^{\delta_i} S(t_i|\theta)^{1-\delta_i} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n (h(t_i|\theta)S(t_i|\theta))^{\delta_i} S(t_i|\theta)^{1-\delta_i} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n h(t_i|\theta)^{\delta_i} S(t_i|\theta)^{\delta_i+1-\delta_i} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n h(t_i|\theta)^{\delta_i} S(t_i|\theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^D h(t_{(i)}|\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i|\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Continuing the likelihood calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^D h(t_{(i)}|\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i|\theta) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^D h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}} \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i|\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0) \\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^D h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\prod_{i=1}^D \sum_{j \in R(i)} h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^D \sum_{j \in R(i)} h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i|\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^D \frac{h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sum_{j \in R(i)} h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^D \sum_{j \in R(i)} h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i|\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0)
\end{aligned}$$

# Partial Likelihood

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0) = \prod_{i=1}^D \left( \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_{(i)}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sum_{j \in R_{(i)}} e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^D \sum_{j \in R_{(i)}} h_0(t_{(i)}) e^{\mathbf{x}_j^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n S(t_i | \boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0)$$

- The red product is Cox's partial likelihood.
- Properties similar to ordinary likelihood were proved years later.
- There are fairly convincing arguments that the black product is negligible for large samples.
- Lack of dependence on the baseline hazard is a good feature.
- This is the state of the art.

# Hypothesis Tests

As Cox hypothesized, all the usual likelihood theory applies to partial likelihood.

- Consistency (i.e., large-sample accuracy)
- Asymptotic normality.
- Fisher information
- $Z$ -tests
- Wald tests
- Score tests
- Likelihood ratio tests
- Call them *partial* likelihood ratio tests.
- Estimation of the survival function will be described later.

# Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by **Jerry Brunner**, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License**. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The  $\text{\LaTeX}$  source code is available from the course website:

<http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/oldclass/312f23>