

## STA 312f22 Assignment Seven<sup>1</sup>

These questions are practice for the quiz on Friday Nov. 11th, and are not to be handed in. The R part is deferred until next week.

1. Prove that the greater the log odds, the greater the probability.
2. If two events have equal probability, the odds ratio equals \_\_\_\_.
3. For a multiple logistic regression model, if the value of the  $j$ th explanatory variable is increased by  $c$  units and everything else remains the same, the odds of  $Y=1$  are \_\_\_\_ times as great. Show the calculation.
4. For a multiple logistic regression model, let  $P(Y_i = 1|x_{i,1}, \dots, x_k) = \pi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ . Show that a linear model for the log odds is equivalent to

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} x_k}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} x_k}} = \frac{e^{\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}}}$$

5. Write the log likelihood for the last question, and simplify it as much as possible.
6. In logistic regression, the *null model* is a model with no explanatory variables. That is,  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ . There is just one unknown parameter,  $\beta_0$ . For this special case, a closed-form expression for the MLE is available. Derive it.
7. That last question was an example of the *invariance principle* of maximum likelihood estimation, which says the MLE of a function of the parameter is that function of the MLE. It is very handy. Now, still considering a logistic regression model with no explanatory variables,
  - (a) Suppose  $p$  (the sample proportion of  $Y = 1$  cases) is 0.57. What is  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ? Your answer is a number.
  - (b) Suppose  $\hat{\beta}_0 = -0.79$ . What is  $p$ ? Your answer is a number.
8. It is natural to estimate  $\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}$  with  $\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n$ . What is the asymptotic (approximate large-sample) distribution of  $\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n$ ? Use the formula sheet and show your work. Give the asymptotic expected value and variance.
9. We are working toward the Wald test of  $H_0 : \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{h}$ .
  - (a) What is the asymptotic distribution of  $\mathbf{L}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n$ ? What facts from the formula sheet are you using? Give the expected value and covariance matrix.
  - (b) The asymptotic distribution of  $W_n$  on the formula sheet uses another fact from the formula sheet. Which one?
  - (c) Why does the Wald statistic have  $r$  degrees of freedom?
10. In logistic regression, the  $z$ -test of  $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$  uses the test statistic  $z = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{se_{\hat{\beta}_j}}$ . Show that for the Wald test of this null hypothesis,  $W_n = z^2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Copyright information is at the end of the last page.

11. Consider a logistic regression in which the cases are newly married couples with both people from the same religion, the explanatory variable is religion (A, B, C and None – let’s call “None” a religion), and the response variable is whether the marriage lasted 5 years (1=Yes, 0=No).
- (a) Make a table with four rows, showing how you would set up indicator dummy variables for Religion, with None as the reference category.
  - (b) Add a column showing the odds of the marriage lasting 5 years. The *symbols* for your dummy variables should not appear in your answer, because they are zeros and ones, and different for each row. But of course your answer contains  $\beta$  values.
  - (c) What is the ratio of the odds of a marriage lasting 5 years or more for Religion C to the odds of lasting 5 years or more for No Religion? Answer in terms of the  $\beta$  symbols of your model.
  - (d) What is the ratio of the odds of lasting 5 years or more for religion A to the odds of lasting 5 years or more for Religion B? Answer in terms of the  $\beta$  symbols of your model.
  - (e) You want to test whether Religion is related to whether the marriage lasts 5 years. State the null hypothesis in terms of one or more  $\beta$  values.
  - (f) You want to know whether marriages from Religion A are more likely to last 5 years than marriages from Religion C. State the null hypothesis in terms of one or more  $\beta$  values.
  - (g) You want to test whether marriages between people of No Religion have a 50-50 chance of lasting 5 years. State the null hypothesis in terms of one or more  $\beta$  values.

---

This assignment was prepared by [Jerry Brunner](#), Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License](#). Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X source code is available from the course website: <http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/brunner/oldclass/312f22>