

Assignment 10

11

① ② y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n are independent normal, so $y_0, \hat{\beta} \text{ and } \hat{\varepsilon}$ are independent multivariate normal. $y_0 \text{ and } x_0' \hat{\beta}$ are independent normal, $E(y_0) = E(x_0' \hat{\beta}) = x_0' \beta$, and $\text{var}(y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}) = \text{var}(y_0) + \text{var}(x_0' \hat{\beta})$
 $= \sigma^2 + x_0' \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} x_0 = \sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)$,
and $y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0))$. Then

$$z = \frac{y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}} \sim N(0, 1), \text{ independent of}$$

$w = \frac{SSE}{\sigma^2}$ because SSE is a function of $\hat{\varepsilon}$, which is independent of both y_0 and $\hat{\beta}$. Now $w \sim \chi^2(n-k-1)$,

so

$$t = \frac{z}{\sqrt{w/(n-k-1)}} = \frac{\frac{y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} / (n-k-1)}}$$

$$= \frac{y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{MSF (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}} \sim t(n-k-1)$$

$$(1b) \cdot 1 - \alpha = P \{ -t_{\alpha/2} < t < t_{\alpha/2} \}$$

2

$$= P \left\{ -t_{\alpha/2} < \frac{y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)}} < t_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= P \left\{ -t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)} < y_0 - x_0' \hat{\beta} < t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)} \right\}$$

$$= P \left\{ x_0' \hat{\beta} - t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)} \right.$$

$$\left. < y_0 < y_0 + t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)} \right\},$$

$$\text{or } x_0' \hat{\beta} \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{MSE(1 + x_0'(X'X)^{-1}x_0)}$$

(2) Simple random sampling is regression with $k=0$ explanatory variables. The X matrix is a column of ones, and $(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}$. $\hat{\beta} = \bar{y}$, $x_0 = 1$, $MSE = s^2$ (the usual sample variance) and from the formula sheet, the prediction interval is

$$x_0' \hat{\beta} \pm \sqrt{MSE (1 + x_0' (X'X)^{-1} x_0)}$$

$$= \bar{y} \pm \sqrt{s^2 (1 + \frac{1}{n})}$$

Deriving it, use $t = \frac{\bar{z}}{\sqrt{w/v}}$, with

$$w = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$$

$$\bar{y} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}), y_0 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Independent, so $y_0 - \bar{y} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 + \sigma^2/n)$

$$\bar{z} = \frac{y_0 - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + \frac{1}{n})}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Independent of s^2 (because $\bar{y} \neq s^2$ are independent and $y_0 \neq s^2$ are independent), so

$$t = \frac{\frac{y_0 - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\cancel{\sigma^2} (1 + \frac{1}{n})}}}{\frac{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)\cancel{\sigma^2}}{\cancel{\sigma^2}} / (n-1)}}{\sqrt{s^2 (1 + \frac{1}{n})}}} = \frac{y_0 - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{s^2 (1 + \frac{1}{n})}} \sim t(n-1)$$

(2 continued.)

4

$$\text{Then, } 1 - \alpha = P \left\{ -t_{\alpha/2} < \frac{y_0 - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{s^2(1 + \frac{1}{n})}} < t_{\alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$= P \left\{ \bar{y} - t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{s^2(1 + \frac{1}{n})} < y_0 < \bar{y} + t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{s^2(1 + \frac{1}{n})} \right\}$$

or $\bar{y} \pm \sqrt{s^2(1 + \frac{1}{n})}$, which is what we get from the formula sheet.

For $\bar{y} = 7.5$, $s^2 = 3.82$ & $n = 14$, R gives a critical value $t(0.975, 13) = 2.16$

The 95% margin of error is

$$t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{s^2(1 + \frac{1}{n})} = 2.16 \sqrt{3.82(1 + \frac{1}{14})}$$

= 4.37, and the prediction interval is

$$(7.5 - 4.37, 7.5 + 4.37)$$

$$= (3.13, 11.87)$$

(3) (a) $w \sim N\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} x_j' \beta, m \sigma^2\right)$

(b) $\hat{w} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} x_j' \hat{\beta} = v' \hat{\beta}$,

where $v = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} x_j'$ This notation will save a lot of writing.

(c) $\hat{w} \sim N(v' \beta, \sigma^2 v' (X'X)^{-1} v)$

(d) $\hat{w} \neq w$ are independent, since w is based on a new set of observations. $E(w) = v' \beta$, so $w - \hat{w} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 (m + v' (X'X)^{-1} v))$

(e)
$$z = \frac{w - \hat{w}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (m + v' (X'X)^{-1} v)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

(f)
$$t = \frac{\frac{w - \hat{w}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (m + v' (X'X)^{-1} v)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\cancel{\sigma^2}} / (n - k - 1)}}$$

$$= \frac{w - \hat{w}}{\sqrt{MSE (m + v' (X'X)^{-1} v)}} \sim t(n - k - 1)$$

(3g) w_{n+1}, \dots, w_{n+m} and $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$ are all independent. The numerator is a function of w_{n+1}, \dots, w_{n+m} and $\hat{\beta}$, while the denominator is a function of $\hat{\epsilon}$.

$$(h) 1 - \alpha = P(-t_{\alpha/2} < t < t_{\alpha/2})$$

$$= P\left(-t_{\alpha/2} < \frac{w - \hat{w}}{\sqrt{\text{MSE}(m + v'(X'X)^{-1}v)}} < t_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

= Copying the steps of problem 1b,

$$= P\left\{ \hat{w} - t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE}(m + v'(X'X)^{-1}v)} < w < \hat{w} + t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE}(m + v'(X'X)^{-1}v)} \right\}$$

When again

$$w = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} w_j$$

$$\hat{w} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} x_j' \hat{\beta} \quad \text{and}$$

$$v = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} x_j$$

4
$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 36.3 \\ 450.29 \\ 220 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{MSE} = 6.889327$$

(a) $\hat{w} = 69.27362$

(b) ~~$(58.01, 80.54)$~~ $(59.38053, 79.16671)$

5
$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(H) &= \text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X') = \text{tr}(X'X(X'X)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(I_{k+1}) = k+1 = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} \end{aligned}$$

So mean hat value is $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} = \frac{k+1}{n}$

6
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} (1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

a) $h_{ii} = \frac{1}{n}$

b) $h_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}$

7 Noting that $v_i' X = x_i'$,

$$h_{ii} = v_i' H v = v_i' X (X'X)^{-1} X' v_i$$

$$= x_i' (X'X)^{-1} x_i'' = x_i' (X'X)^{-1} x_i > 0$$

because $(X'X)^{-1}$ is positive definite.

8 Because the model has an intercept

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i = 0$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\bar{y}} = \bar{y}.$$

$$R^2 = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}} \right)^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) \hat{y}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}) \bar{y}}{\sqrt{SST * SSR}} \right)^2$$

(8 continued)

9

$$= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \hat{y}_i - \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i - \bar{y} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})}_{=0} \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR}$$

Vector notation
↓

$$= \frac{\left(y' \hat{y} - \bar{y} n \bar{y} \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR} = \frac{\left(y' H y - n \bar{y}^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR}$$

$$= \frac{\left(y' H' H y - n \bar{y}^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR} = \frac{\left((H y)' H y - n \bar{y}^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\hat{y}' \hat{y} - n \bar{y}^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i^2 - n \bar{y}^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 \right)^2}{SST \quad SSR} = \frac{SSR^2}{SST \quad SSR}$$

$$= \frac{SSR}{SST} = R^2$$

(9) Yes $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$, and $\hat{\beta}$ is independent of $\hat{\epsilon}$.
 Functions of independent random vectors are independent.

(b) There should be no visible pattern.

(10) $\text{Cov}(\hat{y}, y) = \text{Cov}(Hy, y) = H \text{Cov}(y, y)$
 $= H\sigma^2 I \neq 0$, so not independent.

(11) $\text{Cov}(y, \hat{\epsilon}) = \text{Cov}(y, (I-H)y)$
 $= \text{Cov}(y, y)(I-H)' = \sigma^2 I(I-H)$
 $= \sigma^2(I-H) \neq 0$, so not independent

(12) A plot of x_i and $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ would be a straight line, regardless of model correctness.

13

(a) True

(b) True: $E(\hat{\epsilon}^1) = E(y - \hat{y}) = E(y) - E(\hat{y})$

$= X\beta - E(X\hat{\beta}) = X\beta - X E(\hat{\beta}) = X\beta - X\beta = \underline{0}$

True for $i=1, \dots, n$.

(c) ~~True~~ False.

(d) False: $cov(\hat{\epsilon}^1) = cov((I-H)y)$

$= (I-H)cov(y)(I-H)' = (I-H)\sigma^2 I(I-H)$

$= \sigma^2 (I-H)^2 = \sigma^2 (I-H), \text{ so}$

$var(\hat{\epsilon}_i^1) = \sigma^2 (1 - A_{ii}) \neq 0$

(e) True

(f) True: $y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I), \text{ so}$

$\hat{\epsilon}^1 = (I-H)y \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2(I-H))$

And the marginals of a multivariate normal are normal.

(g) True

(h) False: $cov(\hat{\epsilon}^1) = \sigma^2(I-H), \text{ not diagonal.}$

$$(14) \quad \hat{\varepsilon} = y - \hat{y} = y - X\hat{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow y = X\hat{\beta} + \hat{\varepsilon}$$

(15) Because the x variables are constants. They are independent of everything.

$$(16) \quad r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i,j} - \bar{x}_j)(\hat{\varepsilon}_i - 0)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i,j} - \bar{x}_j)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\varepsilon}_i - 0)^2}}$$

Just look at the numerator

$$\text{Numerator} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{x}_j \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_i$$

$$= 0, \text{ one of the zeros in } X'\hat{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

To see this another way note that the $n \times 1$ vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,j} \\ x_{2,j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n,j} \end{pmatrix} = X P_j, \text{ where } P_j \text{ is a } (k+1) \times 1 \text{ vector with a one in position } j, \text{ and zero elsewhere.}$$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,j} \hat{\varepsilon}_i = (X P_j)' \hat{\varepsilon} = P_j' X' \hat{\varepsilon} = P_j' Q = 0$$

\uparrow \uparrow
 $(k+1) \times 1$ 1×1

$$17) R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})(\hat{\epsilon}_i - 0)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i - 0)^2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Numerator} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y}) \hat{\epsilon}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{y}_i \hat{\epsilon}_i - \bar{y} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i}_{=0} \\ &= \bar{y}' \hat{\epsilon} = (\mathbf{X} \hat{\beta})' \hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\beta}' \mathbf{X}' \hat{\epsilon} = \hat{\beta}' \mathbf{0} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

18) (a)
 (i) Yes, I suppose. Treatment increases the rate of the chemical reaction. $t = -2.133$, $p = 0.0455$.

ii) Yes. Higher concentration speeds up the reaction.

iii) Okay! I did.

(b) i) $t = -5.424$, $p = 0.0000311$

ii) $\hat{\beta}$ is negative.

(c) $t = 4.029$, $p = 0.000787$. Yes, cubic helps.

(d) Yes. The residual is less than -3σ .

(e) (i) Critical value = 3.607

ii) Yes, one outlier. Concentration = 0.02, Reaction rate = 47, treated.