Random Explanatory variables¹ STA 2101 Fall 2019

 $^{^1 \}mathrm{See}$ last slide for copyright information.







Preparation: Indicator functions Conditional expectation and the Law of Total Probability

 $I_A(x)$ is the *indicator function* for the set A. It is defined by

$$I_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Also sometimes written $I(x \in A)$

$$E(I_A(X)) = \sum_{x} I_A(x)p(x), \text{ or}$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_A(x)f(x) dx$$

$$= P\{X \in A\}$$

So the expected value of an indicator is a probability.

Applies to conditional probabilities too

$$E(I_A(X)|Y) = \sum_{x} I_A(x)p(x|Y), \text{ or}$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_A(x)f(x|Y) dx$$

$$= Pr\{X \in A | Y\}$$

So the conditional expected value of an indicator is a *conditional* probability.

Double expectation

$E\left(X\right)=E\left(E[X|Y]\right)=E(g(Y))$

Preparation

Showing E(X) = E(E[X|Y])Again note E(E[X|Y]) is an example of E(g(Y))

$$E(E[X|Y]) = \int E[X|Y = y]f_y(y) dy$$

= $\int \left(\int x f_{x|y}(x|y) dx\right) f_y(y) dy$
= $\int \left(\int x \frac{f_{x,y}(x,y)}{f_y(y)} dx\right) f_y(y) dy$
= $\int \int x f_{x,y}(x,y) dx dy$
= $E(X)$

Double expectation: E(g(X)) = E(E[g(X)|Y])

$$E(E[I_A(X)|Y]) = E[I_A(X)] = Pr\{X \in A\},$$
so

$$Pr\{X \in A\} = E\left(E[I_A(X)|Y]\right)$$

= $E\left(Pr\{X \in A|Y\}\right)$
= $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Pr\{X \in A|Y = y\}f_Y(y) \, dy$, or
 $\sum_y Pr\{X \in A|Y = y\}p_Y(y)$

This is known as the Law of Total Probability

Don't you think its strange?

- In the general linear regression model, the X matrix is supposed to be full of fixed constants.
- This is convenient mathematically. Think of $E(\hat{\beta})$.
- But in any non-experimental study, if you selected another sample you'd get different X values, because of random sampling.
- So X should be at least partly random variables, not fixed.
- View the usual model as *conditional* on $\mathcal{X} = X$.
- All the probabilities and expected values in the typical regression course are *conditional* probabilities and *conditional* expected values.
- Does this make sense?

$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is (conditionally) unbiased

$$E(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}=\boldsymbol{X})=\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 for any fixed \boldsymbol{X}

It's unconditionally unbiased too.

$$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\} = E\{E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|X\}\} = E\{\boldsymbol{\beta}\} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Perhaps Clearer

$$E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\} = E\{E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|X\}\}$$

= $\int \cdots \int E\{\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|\mathcal{X} = X\} f(X) dX$
= $\int \cdots \int \boldsymbol{\beta} f(X) dX$
= $\boldsymbol{\beta} \int \cdots \int f(X) dX$
= $\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot 1 = \boldsymbol{\beta}.$

Conditional size α test, Critical value f_{α}

$$Pr\{F > f_{\alpha} | \mathcal{X} = X\} = \alpha$$

$$Pr\{F > f_{\alpha}\} = \int \cdots \int Pr\{F > f_{\alpha} | \mathcal{X} = X\} f(X) dX$$
$$= \int \cdots \int \alpha f(X) dX$$
$$= \alpha \int \cdots \int f(X) dX$$
$$= \alpha$$

The moral of the story

- Don't worry.
- Even though the explanatory variables are often random, we can apply the usual fixed X model without fear.
- Estimators are still unbiased.
- Tests have the right Type I error probability.
- Similar arguments apply to confidence intervals and prediction intervals.
- And it's all distribution-free with respect to X.

Copyright Information

This slide show was prepared by Jerry Brunner, Department of Statistics, University of Toronto. It is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. Use any part of it as you like and share the result freely. The IAT_EX source code is available from the course website: http://www.utstat.toronto.edu/~brunner/oldclass/2101f19