

Name Jerry

Student Number _____

STA 442/2101 f2014 Quiz 6

1. For a simple linear regression with an intercept and one explanatory variable, you obtain the least squares estimates by minimizing $Q(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$.

(a) (2 points) Differentiate Q with respect to β_0 and set the derivative to zero and simplify a bit, obtaining the first *normal equation*.

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \beta_0} = \sum_{i=1}^n 2(Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)(-1) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = n\beta_0 + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

(b) (3 points) Noting that the quantities $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ must satisfy the first normal equation, show that the least squares line passes through the point (\bar{x}, \bar{Y}) . Start by giving the equation of the least squares line in terms of $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$.

Equation of the least squares line is $y = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$.

From the first normal equation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = n\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{Y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} \quad \text{and the line goes through } (\bar{x}, \bar{Y})$$

2. (2 points) The density of the t distribution is symmetric around zero, so that if $T \sim t(\nu)$, then also $-T \sim t(\nu)$. This fact makes it possible for a normal person to just write down confidence intervals and prediction intervals from the formula sheet without showing any work. Based on a regression with n cases, give a $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ prediction interval for Y_{n+1} . Use $t_{\alpha/2}$ for the point satisfying $P\{T > t_{\alpha/2}\} = \alpha/2$. You don't have to show any work. Give it in the form $1 - \alpha$ equals the probability of something.

$$1 - \alpha = P_{\alpha} \left\{ \mathbf{x}_{n+1}^T \hat{\beta} - t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE} (1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{n+1})} < Y_{n+1} < \mathbf{x}_{n+1}^T \hat{\beta} + t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE} (1 + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{n+1})} \right\}$$

3. (3 points) Of course the explanatory variable values are often random, and *not* fixed constants. Suppose the explanatory variables are random. Is the prediction interval still valid? **Answer Yes or No** and show your work. For convenience of notation, you may pretend that the joint distribution of the \mathbf{X} matrix is continuous. *and \mathbf{x}_{n+1}*
To avoid a lot of writing, let $A(W)$ denote the lower limit of your prediction interval, and let $B(W)$ denote the upper limit.

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\alpha} \{ A(W) < Y_{n+1} < B(W) \} \\ &= \int \int P \{ A(W) < Y_{n+1} < B(W) \mid W=w \} f(w) dw \\ &= \int \int (1 - \alpha) f(w) dw = (1 - \alpha) \int \int f(w) dw \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \cdot 1 = 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

YES