

Name Jerry

Student Number \_\_\_\_\_

### STA 431s13 Quiz 6

1. (3 points) In the following model,  $X_{i,1}$ ,  $X_{i,2}$  and  $Y_i$  are latent variables, while  $W_{i,1}$ ,  $W_{i,2}$  and  $V_i$  are observable. Independently for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \beta X_{i,1} + \epsilon_i \\ W_{i,1} &= X_{i,1} + e_{i,1} \\ W_{i,2} &= X_{i,2} + e_{i,2} \\ V_i &= Y_i + e_{i,3} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \psi)$ ,  $e_{i,j} \sim N(0, \omega_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , and the vector  $\mathbf{X}_i = (X_{i,1}, X_{i,2})'$  is bivariate normal with expected value zero and covariance matrix

$$V \begin{pmatrix} X_{i,1} \\ X_{i,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{12} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The error term are independent of one another, and independent of  $\mathbf{X}_i$ .

- (a) What is the parameter vector  $\theta$  for this model?

$$\theta = (\beta, \psi, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \phi_{11}, \phi_{12}, \phi_{22})$$

- (b) Does this problem pass the test of the Parameter Count Rule? Answer Yes or No and give the numbers. *No. There are 8 parameters and 6 ~~variances~~ variance-covariances*

- (c) Write down the covariance matrix of the observable variables. *You do not need to show any work.*

	$W_1$	$W_2$	$V$
$W_1$	$\phi_{11} + \omega_1$	$\phi_{12}$	$\beta \phi_{11}$
$W_2$		$\phi_{22} + \omega_2$	$\beta \phi_{12}$
$V$			$\beta^2 \phi_{11} + \psi + \omega_3$

- (d) In this data set,  $X_2$  is a tool for identifying  $\beta$ , and is carefully chosen to be related to  $X_1$ . Denoting the covariance matrix of the observable data by  $\Sigma = [\sigma_{ij}]$ , what hypothesis could you test about the  $\sigma_{ij}$  to verify this?

$$H_0: \sigma_{12} = 0$$

- (e) Assuming  $\phi_{12} \neq 0$ , show that  $\beta$  is identifiable.

$$\frac{\sigma_{23}}{\sigma_{12}} = \frac{\beta \phi_{12}}{\phi_{12}} = \beta$$

- (f) Still Assuming  $\phi_{12} \neq 0$ , give a reasonable estimator of  $\beta$ . Warning: An estimator is a *statistic*, a function of the sample data. If you write your estimator as a function of any unknown parameters, you get no marks for this part.

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{23}}{\hat{\sigma}_{12}}$$

- (g) How do you know that your estimator is consistent? You may use the (strong) consistency of sample variances and covariances without proof.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{23} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_{23}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{12} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_{12} \neq 0, \quad \text{so}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{23}}{\hat{\sigma}_{12}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{\sigma_{23}}{\sigma_{12}} = \beta \text{ by continuity}$$

2. (3 points) In your analysis of the longitudinal IQ data, you tested the null hypothesis of equality for the four regression coefficients connecting birth mother's IQ to her child's IQ at 4 ages.

- (a) Show the calculation of  $G^2$  below, including the two numbers you subtracted to get it. **circle your  $G^2$  value.**

	$\chi^2$	Objective functions
Version 9.1	25.6474 - 10.0006 = 15.65	62 * (0.4204488137 - 0.1639435398) 15.903
Version 9.3	25.6474 - 10.0006 = 15.65	62 * (0.4204488137 - 0.1639435398)

- (b) With a critical chi-squared value of 7.815, what do you conclude from the test? Your answer is a statement about IQ scores.

The connection between birth mother's IQ & child's IQ depends on ~~age~~ child's age.

Please attach your log file and your list file to the quiz paper. Make sure your name is written on both printouts.